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#### Abstract

The invariant mass spectrum of the $e^{+} e^{-}$and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$pairs from decays of light unflavored mesons with masses below the $\phi(1020)$-meson mass to final states containing along with a dilepton pair one photon, one meson, and two mesons are calculated within the framework of the effective meson theory. The results can be used for simulations of the dilepton spectra in heavy-ion collisions and for experimental searches of dilepton meson decays.


PACS number(s): 14.40.Cs, 13.20.-v, 24.85.+p

## I. INTRODUCTION

During the last decade, the problem of the description of hadrons in dense and hot nuclear matter received great attention. It is well known that particles change their properties when they are placed into a medium. Already in the 1970's the reduction of the nucleon masses in nuclei was implemented into the Walecka model in the framework of effective hadron field theory [1,2]. Later on this effect was put on firmer grounds on the basis of a partial restoration of chiral symmetry and finite-density QCD sum rules [3]. The change of the meson properties is also discussed in the quantum hadrodynamics [2] and finite-density QCD sum rules [4]. The investigations in the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model provide an evidence for reduction of nucleon and meson masses at finite density and temperature as well [5].

On the other hand, many-body correlations lead to a dressing of the particles inside the medium and strongly modify spectral properties of the mesons [6-8]. Thus one expects a significant reduction of the corresponding life times which, loosely speaking, result in melting the mesons in the nuclear environment.

The melting of the higher nucleon resonances is established experimentally from the measurement of the total photoabsorption cross section on heavy nuclei [9]. There is a clear signal for a change of the shape of the $\Delta$ resonance in nuclei, related to the Fermi motion and, as noticed in Ref. [10], to the collision broadening effect discussed first by Weisskopf [11] in connection with a broadening of the atomic spectral lines in gases. The higher nucleon resonances are not seen in the cross section due to a strong collision broadening effect.

The purpose of the current investigations is to determine mass shifts and the broadening of hadronic resonances in nuclear matter. The formulation of this problem can be traced back to the atomic spectroscopy where the shifts of atomic energy levels and the broadening of atomic spectral lines in dense and hot gases is a relatively well studied subject (see, e.g., Ref. [12]).

The search for signatures of modified hadron properties such as reduction masses according to the Brown-Rho scaling [13] are presently pursued with high experimental efforts. However, most hadronic probes loose important information on the early and violent phase of the reaction by
strong final state interactions. One of the most promising probes to study in-medium properties of vector mesons are dilepton pairs $l^{+} l^{-}(l=e, \mu)$ which are produced from decays of $\rho^{0}, \omega$, and $\phi$ mesons in heavy-ion collisions. The leptonic probes have the advantage that they are the nearly undistorted messengers from the conditions at their creation, unlike, e.g., pions.

The dilepton spectra measured by the CERES and HELIOS-3 Collaborations at CERN SPS have attracted special interest $[14,15]$. Compared to theoretical predictions, both experiments found a significant enhancement of the low-energy dilepton yield below the $\rho$ and $\omega$ peaks. One way to explain this low-energy dilepton excess is to assume the scenario of a significant reduction of the $\rho$-meson mass in a dense medium [16-18]. On the other hand, a more sophisticated treatment of the $\rho$-meson spectral function which includes the broadening in dense matter $[7,8]$ seems also to be sufficient to account for these data $[18,19]$.

An excess of low-energy dileptons occurs already at moderate bombarding energies. However, the spectra obtained by the DLS Collaboration at the BEVALAC [20] for the incident energies around $1 A \mathrm{GeV}$ cannot be reproduced by present transport calculations [21]. Even using the reduction of the $\rho$-meson mass, there remains a discrepancy for the dilepton yield by a factor of 2 to 3 [21,22]. Also the medium dependence of the spectral function, even in combination with a dropping $\rho$ mass, does not provide an explanation for this so called "DLS puzzle" [23,24]. It is interesting to note that this fact is independent on the system size and occurs in light $(d+\mathrm{Ca})$ as well as in heavier systems $(\mathrm{Ca}+\mathrm{Ca})$. The dilepton yield in elementary $p+p$ collisions measured by the DLS Collaboration [25] is also underestimated by standard theoretical descriptions [21]. In Ref. [26] it was claimed that the discrepancy between the DLS data and theoretical simulations disappears if additional background contributions from dilepton decays of higher nucleon resonances [mainly $\left.N^{*}(1520)\right]$ are taken into account. This demonstrates that a most precise knowledge of the background is indispensable for the interpretation of the present and future dilepton data. The HADES experiment at GSI, Germany, will focus on these topics to a large extent [27].

While the dilepton spectra stemming from the mesonic decays are not distorted by final state interactions, the problem of extracting information on the in-medium properties of
the vector mesons is a specific theoretical task, due to a large amount of decays contributing to the background. A precise and rather complete knowledge of the relative weights for existing decay channels is therefore indispensable in order to draw reliable conclusions from dilepton spectra.

The study of the dilepton decays is useful also for the search on the dilepton decay modes of the light unflavored mesons. These decays give a deeper insight into meson structure, allowing to measure transition form factors at the timelike (resonance) region. In four-body decays the decay probabilities are determined by the half-off-shell meson transition form factors which cannot be measured in other reactions. There are plans to study the $\eta^{\prime}$ transition form factors in the space-like region in reactions of the photo- and electroproduction at CEBAF energies [28]. Recently, the DLS Collaboration published data on the dilepton production in the elementary $p p$ and $p d$ collisions [25]. These data are analyzed in Refs. [21,26]. The plans from the HADES Collaboration include measurements of the dilepton spectra from proton-proton and pion-proton collisions [27]. These experiments are stimulated by the already mentioned discrepancy between the number of the observed dilepton events and results of the transport simulations, that indicate a limited understanding of the mechanism for the dilepton emission in heavy-ion collisions. In such experiments, there is a possibility for exclusive measurements of the different mesonic channels.

In the present work, we perform a detailed study of possible mesonic $l^{+} l^{-}$decays which appear in the SIS energy range, i.e., at lab. energies below $2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{nucleon}$. At 2 $\mathrm{GeV} /$ nucleon, the $\rho$ meson is produced slightly above the threshold. Due to statistical fluctuations, the production of heavier mesons is, however, also possible. We consider decays of unflavored light mesons with masses below the $\phi(1020)$-meson mass within the framework of the effective meson theory. The vertex couplings are determined from the measured strong and radiative decay widths and, when the experimental data are not available, from $S U(3)$ symmetry. The transition form factors entering the decay rates are calculated using the vector meson dominance (VMD) model. In this way, we achieve generally good agreement with the experimental branching ratios for radiative meson decays. For the dilepton decay modes the branching ratios are, however, only known in a few cases.

We consider the vector mesons $\rho, \omega$, and $\phi(1020)$ $(=V)$, the pseudoscalar mesons $\pi, \eta, \eta^{\prime}(=P)$, and the scalar mesons $f_{0}(980)$ and $a_{0}(980)(=S)$. The various decay modes can systematically be classified as follows. (i) There are, first of all, the direct decays modes $V \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$, which contain the information on the in-medium vector meson masses. In the next section, some useful relations which simplify calculations of the decay rates to final states with a dilepton pair are derived. In Sec. III we make a few remarks on the direct decay modes. There exits then a large number of processes which mask the vector meson peaks and which should be treated as a background. (ii) These are Dalitz decays of pseudoscalar mesons $P \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$and scalar mesons $S \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$. These decays are discussed in Sec. IV. (iii) One has also Dalitz decays with one meson in the final states $V$
$\rightarrow P l^{+} l^{-}$and $P \rightarrow V l^{+} l^{-}$, which we discuss in Sec. V. The radiative decays of these mesons are well studied both from the theoretical and experimental points of view. The uncertainties in the estimates for the dilepton decays are connected with the lack of experimental information on the transition form factors. Constructing the VMD model transition form factors, we take special care of the quark counting rules. (iv) Finally, there exist decays to four-body final states $V$ $\rightarrow P P l^{+} l^{-}, P \rightarrow P P l^{+} l^{-}$, and $S \rightarrow P P l^{+} l^{-}$. Section IV is devoted to these decays. We calculate almost all four-body dilepton modes of the unflavored mesons with masses below the $\phi(1020)$. The numerical results for radiative widths of the three-body decays ( $V \rightarrow P P \gamma$, etc.), which provide a useful test for the model considered, for the dilepton widths ( $V \rightarrow P l^{+} l^{-}, V \rightarrow P P l^{+} l^{-}$, etc.), and for the dilepton spectra from the unflavored meson decays are presented in Sec. VII.

## II. RELATION BETWEEN THE DECAYS $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \gamma^{*}$ AND $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{l}^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}$

As mentioned above, we consider decays $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} l^{+} l^{-}$ where M is a meson, $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is a photon, a meson, or two mesons, and $l^{+} l^{-}$is an electron-positron or muon-antimuon pair. The results of this section are valid, however, for arbitrary states $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. The decay $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} l^{+} l^{-}$proceeds through two steps: $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma^{*}$ and $\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$, where $\gamma^{*}$ is a virtual photon whose mass, $M$, is equal to the invariant mass of the dilepton pair.

The matrix element of the physical process $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma$ for a real photon $\gamma$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mu}(k)$ is a photon polarization vector. The matrix element $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ is defined also at $k^{2}=M^{2} \neq 0$ for virtual photons $\gamma^{*}$. As a consequence of the gauge invariance, it is transverse with respect to the photon momentum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\mu} k_{\mu}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decay rate $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma^{*}$ can formally be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Gamma\left(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma^{*}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} \sum_{f} \overline{\mathcal{M}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{*}} \\
& \times\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right) \frac{(2 \pi)^{4}}{(2 \pi)^{3 n+3}} d \Phi_{n+1} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sqrt{s}$ is mass of the decaying meson and $n$ is number of particles in the state $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. The phase space in Eq. (2.3) is defined in the usual way

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Phi_{k}\left(\sqrt{s}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d \mathbf{p}_{i}}{2 E_{i}} \delta^{4}\left(P-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $P$ is the four-momentum of the meson $\mathrm{M}, P^{2}=s$, and $p_{i}$ are momenta of the particles in the final state, including the virtual photon $\gamma^{*}$. In Eq. (2.3), the summation over the
final states and averaging over the initial states of the decaying meson is performed. The limit $M^{2} \rightarrow 0$ gives the decay rate of the physical process $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma$.

The $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} l^{+} l^{-}$decay rate is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Gamma(\mathrm{M} & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} l^{+} l^{-}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} \sum_{f} \overline{\mathcal{M}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{*}} j_{\mu} j_{\nu}^{*} \frac{1}{M^{4}} \frac{(2 \pi)^{4}}{(2 \pi)^{3 n+6}} d \Phi_{n+2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j_{\mu}$ is the lepton current. The term $1 / M^{4}$ comes from the photon propagator, and $d \Phi_{n+2}$ is the phase space of $n$ particles in the state $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ and of the $l^{+} l^{-}$pair.

The value $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} l^{+} l^{-}\right)$can be related to the decay rates $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma^{*}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right)$. In the analogy with massive vector particles, the width of a virtual photon $\gamma^{*}$ can formally be evaluated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{3}\left(M^{2}+2 m_{l}^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{l}^{2}}{M^{2}}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{l}$ is the lepton mass. The expression for the product of two dilepton currents, summed up over the final states of the $l^{+} l^{-}$pair, has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{f} j_{\mu} j_{\nu}^{*}=\frac{16 \pi \alpha}{3}\left(M^{2}+2 m_{l}^{2}\right)\left(-g_{\mu \nu}+\frac{k_{\mu} k_{\nu}}{M^{2}}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the fine-structure constant and $k$ is the total momentum of the pair. Factorizing the $n$-body invariant phase space,

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Phi_{k}( & \left.\sqrt{s}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \\
= & d \Phi_{k-1}\left(\sqrt{s}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k-2}, M\right) \\
& \times d M^{2} \Phi_{2}\left(M, m_{k-1}, m_{k}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be proved by inserting the unity decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int d^{4} q d M^{2} \delta\left(q^{2}-M^{2}\right) \delta^{4}\left(q-p_{k-1}-p_{k}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

into Eq. (2.4), one obtains from Eqs. (2.3), (2.5), and (2.6) with the help of Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Gamma\left(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} l^{+} l^{-}\right)=d \Gamma\left(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma^{*}\right) M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $d M^{2} /\left(\pi M^{4}\right)$ has the form of a properly normalized Breit-Wigner distribution for a zero-mass resonance.

The two-body phase space in Eq. (2.8) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{2}\left(\sqrt{s}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{s}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1. Direct decays of vector mesons into electron-positron and muon-antimuon pairs.
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\left(s-\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left(s-\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)^{2}\right)}}{2 \sqrt{s}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is momentum of the particles 1 and 2 in the c.m. frame.
In the following, we will work with the matrix elements of the processes $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma^{*}$ and use Eq. (2.3) to derive results for the decay rates $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma$ with real photons and Eq. (2.10) to get results for decay rates $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} l^{+} l^{-}$with dileptons in the final states. Now, we will consider the processes which are interesting for the study of dilepton spectra in heavy-ion collisions.

## III. DECAYS OF THE $\rho, \omega$, AND $\phi$ MESONS TO $l^{+} l^{-}$PAIRS

The diagram for the $V \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$decays with $V=\rho, \omega$, and $\phi$ is shown in Fig. 1. In terms of the vector meson fields, $V_{\mu}$, the electromagnetic current has the form [29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\mu}=-e \sum_{V} \frac{m_{V}^{2}}{g_{V}} V_{\mu} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{V}$ are the vector meson masses and $e=-|e|$ is the electron charge. The $S U(3)$ predictions for the coupling constants, $g_{\rho}: g_{\omega}: g_{\phi}=1: 3:-3 / \sqrt{2}$, are in good agreement with the ratios between the values $g_{\rho}=5.03, g_{\omega}=17.1$, and $g_{\phi}$ $=-12.9$ extracted from the $e^{+} e^{-}$decay widths of the $\rho, \omega$, and $\phi$ mesons with the use of the well known expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(V \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right)=\frac{8 \pi \alpha^{2}}{3 g_{V}^{2}}\left(1+2 \frac{m_{l}^{2}}{m_{V}^{2}}\right) p^{*}\left(m_{V}, m_{l}, m_{l}\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p^{*}$ defined in Eq. (2.12).

## IV. MESON DECAYS TO PHOTONS AND $l^{+} l^{-}$PAIRS

In this section, we discuss meson decays $P \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$(Fig. 2) and $S \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$(Fig. 3) for $P=\pi^{0}, \eta$, and $\eta^{\prime}$ and $S$ $=f_{0}(980)$ and $a_{0}^{0}(980)$. As we shall see, these decays are the dominant $e^{+} e^{-}$modes for $\pi^{0}, \eta$ mesons, for $\eta^{\prime}$ meson at $M \gtrsim 250 \mathrm{MeV}, f_{0}$ meson at $M \gtrsim 500 \mathrm{MeV}$ and in some other cases. The $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$modes are also discussed.

These decays are related to the experimentally measured two photon decays. The uncertainties in the estimates originate only from the purely known transition form factors in the timelike region. The $\eta \gamma \gamma^{*}$ transition form factor is in


FIG. 2. Decays of scalar mesons into a photon and a virtual photon.
reasonable agreement with the one-pole VMD model predictions [30]. The experimental errors in the $\eta^{\prime}$ transition form factor are large [31]. The one-pole VMD approximation for the $P \gamma \gamma^{*}$ transition form factors is in agreement with the quark counting rules which predict for these form factors a $\sim 1 / t$ asymptotics [32].

The nature of the scalar mesons has been a subject of intensive discussions for a long time. The 4-quark content of the scalar mesons would imply a $\sim 1 / t^{2}$ asymptotics for the $S \gamma \gamma^{*}$ transition form factors. In order to provide the correct asymptotics for the 4 -quark meson transition form factors, the VMD model should be extended to include contributions from higher vector meson resonances. The $\omega \pi \gamma$ transition form factor has also $\sim 1 / t^{2}$ asymptotic behavior [33]. This form factor is measured in the timelike region [30] and the data show deviations from the naive one-pole approximation. The inclusion of higher vector meson resonances improves the agreement and provides the correct asymptotics.

The results of a recent measurement of the $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_{0}$ branching ratio are interpreted as evidence for the dominance of a 4 -quark MIT bag component in the $f_{0}$-meson wave function $[34,35]$. We thus calculate branching ratios $S$ $\rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$assuming the 4-quark nature of the scalar mesons and imposing constraints from the quark counting rules to the $S \gamma \gamma^{*}$ transition form factors.

## A. Decay modes $\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}, \eta \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$, and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$

The effective vertex for the $P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decays has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}_{P \gamma \gamma}=f_{P \gamma \gamma} \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu} \partial_{\sigma} A_{\tau} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} P, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=\pi^{0}, \eta$, and $\eta^{\prime}$ and $A_{\mu}$ is the photon field. The matrix element for the decay $P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma^{*}$ with a virtual photon $\gamma^{*}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=-i f_{P \gamma \gamma} F_{P \gamma \gamma}\left(M^{2}\right) \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu} k_{\tau} \varepsilon_{\sigma}^{*}(k) k_{1 \mu} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{*}\left(k_{1}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 3. Decays of scalar mesons into a photon and a virtual photon.
where $k$ is the virtual photon momentum $\left(k^{2}=M^{2}\right), k_{1}$ is the real photon momentum $\left(k_{1}^{2}=0\right)$, and $F_{P \gamma \gamma}(t)$ is transition form factor $P \gamma \gamma^{*}$. The comparison of the $P \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$decay width with the decay width of a physical process $P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ allows us to write [30]

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \Gamma\left(P \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}\right)}{\Gamma(P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)}= & 2\left(\frac{p^{*}(\sqrt{s}, 0, M)}{p^{*}(\sqrt{s}, 0,0)}\right)^{3} \\
& \times\left|F_{P \gamma \gamma}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sqrt{s}=\mu_{P}$ is the pseudoscalar meson mass. The value $M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right)$is given by Eq. (2.6). The factor 2 in Eq. (4.3) occurs due the identity of photons in the decay $P$ $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. The product of the qubic term and the absolute square of the form factor gives the ratio between squares of the matrix element (4.2) at $k^{2}=M^{2}$ and $k^{2}=0$, multiplied by the ratio between the two-particle phase spaces. The quark counting rules [32] imply that the form factor $F_{P \gamma \gamma}(t)$ behaves as $\sim 1 / t$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$. The experimental data are described reasonably well by the monopole formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{P \gamma \gamma}(t)=\frac{\Lambda_{P}^{2}}{\Lambda_{P}^{2}-t}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Lambda_{P}=0.75 \pm 0.03,0.77 \pm 0.04$, and $0.81 \pm 0.04$, respectively, for the $\pi^{0}, \eta$, and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons [36], which reproduces the correct asymptotics. Such a monopole fit can naturally be interpreted in terms of the vector meson dominance. The values of the $\Lambda_{P}$ 's are close to the $\rho$ and $\omega$-meson masses. In a QCD interpolation formula, Ref. [37], the pole masses are related to the PCAC coupling constants of the pseudoscalar mesons, $\Lambda_{P}=2 \pi f_{P}$. This expression gives for the pole masses similar numbers.

In the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}$and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays, the $\rho^{0}$ and $\omega$ poles occur in the physical region allowed for the spectrum of the dilepton pairs. The $S U(3)$ symmetry with the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ mixing angle $\theta=\arcsin \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)=-19.5^{\circ}$ which is quite close to the experimental value $\theta^{\text {expt }}=-15.5 \pm 1.3$ (see, e.g., [38]) predicts $f_{\rho \gamma \eta^{\prime}}=3 f_{\omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}}=(1 / \sqrt{3}) f_{\omega \gamma \pi}$. The experimental branching ratios $B^{\operatorname{expt}}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0}\right)=(30.2 \pm 1.3) \%$ and $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega\right)=(3.02 \pm 0.30) \%$ indicate that the ratio between the $\rho^{0}$ - and $\omega$-meson couplings with the $\eta^{\prime}$ meson equals $f_{\rho \gamma \eta^{\prime}}^{2} / f_{\omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}}^{2}=10$, in good agreement with the $S U(3)$ predictions. In the timelike resonance region, the transition form factor (4.4) should be modified:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\eta^{\prime} \gamma \gamma}(t)= & c_{\rho} \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}-t-i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}} \\
& +c_{\omega} \frac{m_{\omega}^{2}}{m_{\omega}^{2}-t-i m_{\omega} \Gamma_{\omega}}+c_{X} \frac{m_{X}^{2}}{m_{X}^{2}-t} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE I. Meson-meson and meson-photon coupling constants. The coupling constant $f_{\rho \pi \pi}$ is dimensionless, $g_{f \pi \pi}$ and $g_{a \eta \pi}$ are in units of GeV and $f_{\rho \omega \pi} \cdots f_{\rho \gamma \eta^{\prime}}$ are in units of $1 / \mathrm{GeV}$. The mesonphoton coupling constants are determined from the radiative meson decays. The extracted values are in good agreement with predictions from the $S U(3)$ symmetry. The first three coupling constants are determined from the dominant meson decays.

| $f_{\rho \pi \pi}$ | $g_{f \pi \pi}$ | $g_{a \eta \pi}$ | $f_{\rho \omega \pi}$ | $f_{\omega \gamma \pi}$ | $f_{\rho \gamma \pi}$ | $f_{\omega \gamma \eta}$ | $f_{\rho \gamma \eta}$ | $f_{\omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}}$ | $f_{\rho \gamma \eta^{\prime}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.03 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 16 | 2.33 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 1.86 | 1.29 | 0.45 |

(recall that $\Lambda_{\eta^{\prime}} \approx m_{\rho} \approx m_{\omega}$ ), with the weight coefficients $c_{\rho}$ $\sim f_{\rho \gamma \eta^{\prime}} / g_{\rho}=f_{\rho \rho \eta^{\prime}} / g_{\rho}^{2}$ and $c_{\omega} \sim f_{\omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}} / g_{\omega}=f_{\omega \omega \eta^{\prime}} / g_{\omega}^{2}$. The $S U(3)$ symmetry predicts $f_{\rho \rho \eta^{\prime}}=f_{\omega \omega \eta^{\prime}}=(1 / 2 \sqrt{3}) f_{\rho \omega \pi}$. The normalization condition looks like $c_{\rho}+c_{\omega}=1$. The slope is close to $1 / \Lambda_{\eta^{\prime}}^{2}$. From the relation $g_{\rho} / g_{\omega} \approx 0.3$ one gets $c_{\rho} / c_{\omega} \approx 10.5$, the relative sign of the values $c_{\rho}$ and $c_{\omega}$ is fixed by $S U(3)$ symmetry. The third term in Eq. (4.5) is introduced for reasons explained below. We assume for the moment $c_{X}=0$. With $c_{\rho}=0.9$ and $c_{\omega}=0.1$, we obtain $B\left(\eta^{\prime}\right.$ $\left.\rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=0.90 \times 10^{-4}$, in good agreement with the measured value $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=(1.04 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$. Data for the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \gamma$ decay are not available. The coupling constants we used in the calculations are summarized in Table I.

The product of the branching ratios $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right.$ $\left.\rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0}\right) B^{\operatorname{expt}}\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ is almost one order of magnitude smaller than the value $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$. The magnitude of the direct $\rho^{0}$-meson contribution to the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decay can be estimated from Eq. (4.3) with the use of a narrow-width approximation for the transition form factor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{\eta^{\prime} \gamma \gamma}(t)\right|^{2} \approx\left|c_{\rho}\right|^{2} \frac{\pi m_{\rho}^{3}}{\Gamma_{\rho}} \delta\left(t-m_{\rho}^{2}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

to give $B\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$. This value is already close to the product $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0}\right) B^{\text {expt }}\left(\rho^{0}\right.$ $\rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$), but still higher. The relative contributions of the $\rho^{0}$ and $\omega$ mesons to the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}$and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decay rates are inversely proportional to the vector meson widths, as it follows from Eq. (4.6). Since the $\omega$-meson width is only 8.5 MeV , its contribution is strongly enhanced. The direct contribution of the $\omega$ meson to the transition $\eta^{\prime}$ $\rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$equals $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega\right) B\left(\omega \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \approx 2 \times 10^{-6}$ where $\quad B\left(\omega \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \approx B^{\text {expt }}\left(\omega \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)=(7.15 \pm 0.19)$ $\times 10^{-5}$ (the quoted experimental values are all from Ref. [39]). The use of the narrow-width approximation gives $B\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma\right) \approx \Gamma_{\rho} / \Gamma_{\omega}\left|c_{\omega} / c_{\rho}\right|^{2} B\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0}\right.$ $\left.\rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \approx 4 \times 10^{-6}$. This value is also greater than the product $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega\right) B\left(\omega \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$. It can be interpreted as a noticeable contribution from the excited vector mesons to the form factor $F_{\eta^{\prime} \gamma \gamma}(t)$.

In any case, the above estimates demonstrate that the direct $\rho^{0}$ - and $\omega$-meson contributions to the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decay are small. Thus, the value $B\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$is determined mainly by the background, i.e., by those values of $M^{2}$
which are not near to the $\rho^{0}$ - and $\omega$-meson poles. Since we are interested in the spectrum of the dilepton pairs, it is important, however, to fix the relative weights of the vector meson contributions. A $10-20 \%$ decrease of the residues $c_{\rho}$ and $c_{\omega}$ due to the admixture of an excited vector meson ${ }_{X}^{\rho}$ yields for the measured decays $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}, \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0}$, and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega$ a consistent interpretation. With $c_{\rho}=0.8, c_{\omega}$ $=0.08$, and $c_{X}=0.12$ we get $B\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \approx 1.5$ $\times 10^{-5}$ and $B\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \approx 2 \times 10^{-6}$, in good agreement with the products of the branching ratios $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0}\right) B^{\text {expt }}\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$and $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega\right) B(\omega$ $\left.\rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$. The mass of the radially excited vector meson $X$ is not well fixed (see discussion in Sec. V). We set $m_{X}$ $=1.2 \mathrm{GeV}$. Since it is out of the physical region, the width $\Gamma_{X}$ is set equal to zero.

## B. Decay modes $f_{0}(\mathbf{9 8 0}) \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$and $a_{0}^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{9 8 0}) \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$

The isoscalar $f_{0}(980)$ meson and the isotriplet $a_{0}(980)$ meson have quantum numbers $I^{G}\left(J^{P C}\right)=0^{+}\left(0^{++}\right)$and $1^{-}\left(0^{++}\right)$. The $f_{0^{-}}$and the neutral $a_{0}$-mesons decay to two photons. The effective vertex for the $S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}_{S \gamma \gamma}=f_{S \gamma \gamma} F_{\tau \mu} F_{\tau \mu} S \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\tau \mu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\tau}-\partial_{\tau} A_{\mu}$. The matrix element for the process $S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma^{*}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}= & -i f_{S \gamma \gamma} F_{S \gamma \gamma}\left(M^{2}\right)\left(g_{\tau \sigma} k_{1 \lambda}-g_{\tau \lambda} k_{1 \sigma}\right) \\
& \times\left(g_{\mu \sigma} k_{\lambda}-g_{\mu \lambda} k_{\sigma}\right) \varepsilon_{\tau}^{*}\left(k_{1}\right) \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $k_{1}$ and $k$ are real $\left(k_{1}^{2}=0\right)$ and virtual $\left(k^{2}=M^{2}\right)$ photon momenta, $F_{S \gamma \gamma}(t)$ is transition form factor of the decay $S$ $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma^{*}$. The square of the matrix element summed up over the photon polarizations equals $8 s p^{* 2}(\sqrt{s}, 0, M)$, with $\sqrt{s}$ $=m_{S}$ being the scalar meson mass. The width of the $S$ $\rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}$decay can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \Gamma\left(S \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}\right)}{\Gamma(S \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)}= & 2 \frac{p^{* 3}(\sqrt{s}, 0, M)}{p^{* 3}(\sqrt{s}, 0,0)} \\
& \times\left|F_{S \gamma \gamma}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The value of $\Gamma\left(f_{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\right)$ is given by PDG [39]. In case of the $a_{0}$ meson, PDG gives the quantity $\Gamma\left(a_{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\right) \Gamma\left(a_{0}\right.$ $\left.\rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta\right) / \Gamma_{\text {tot }}\left(a_{0}\right)$. Since the mode $a_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta$ is the dominant one, the two-photon width can also be estimated.

The transition form factor $F_{S \gamma \gamma}(t)$ depends on the nature of the scalar meson $S$. The asymptotics of the form factor according to the quark counting rules [32] is $\sim 1 / t$ for a 2-quark model [40] and $\sim 1 / t^{2}$ for a 4 -quark MIT bag [41] and a $K \bar{K}$ molecular models of the $f_{0}$ and $a_{0}$ mesons [42]. As pointed out by Achasov and Ivanchenko [43], the decay $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma$ can provide an important information on the structure of the $f_{0}$ meson. In the SND experiment at the

VEPP-2M $e^{+} e^{-}$collider the branching ratio $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma$ was measured $[34,35]$. The decay goes mainly through the $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_{0}$ decay mode. The results are consistent with the hypothesis of a 4-quark MIT bag nature of the $f_{0}$ meson. We thus calculate dilepton branching ratios $S \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$assuming the 4 -quark nature of the scalar mesons.

In the framework of the VMD model, the $S \gamma \gamma^{*}$ transition form factor can be reproduced assuming contributions from ground-state and excited vector mesons with masses $m_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{S \gamma \gamma}(t)=\sum_{i} \frac{c_{i} m_{i}^{2}}{m_{i}^{2}-t} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalization $F_{S \gamma \gamma}(0)=1$ and the asymptotic condition $F_{S \gamma \gamma}(t) \sim 1 / t^{2}$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$ give constraints to the residues $c_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
1=\sum_{i} c_{i}  \tag{4.11}\\
0=\sum_{i} c_{i} m_{i}^{2} \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

In case of the form factor $f_{0} \gamma \gamma^{*}$, the OZI rule implies that $\omega \omega, \rho \rho$ and $\phi \phi$ contributions are small, while the contributions $\omega \rho, \phi \rho$ are forbidden by isospin conservation. The relative weights of the $\omega$ and $\phi$ mesons in the form factor are fixed and thus at least three vector mesons should be considered to fit the asymptotic behavior:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f_{0} \gamma \gamma}(t) \sim \frac{m_{\omega}^{2}}{m_{\omega}^{2}-t}+\frac{m_{\phi}^{2}}{m_{\phi}^{2}-t}+c_{X} \frac{m_{X}^{2}}{m_{X}^{2}-t} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The overall normalization factor can be derived from the condition $F_{f_{0} \gamma \gamma}(0)=1$, the value $c_{X}$ is fixed from the requirement $F_{f_{0} \gamma \gamma}(t) \sim 1 / t^{2}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The third meson mass $m_{X}$ is not well determined. The resulting form factor is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f_{0} \gamma \gamma}(t)=\frac{m_{\omega}^{2} m_{\phi}^{2} m_{X}^{2}(1+C t)}{\left(m_{\omega}^{2}-t\right)\left(m_{\phi}^{2}-t\right)\left(m_{X}^{2}-t\right)}, \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=-\frac{m_{\omega}^{2}\left(m_{X}^{2}-m_{\omega}^{2}\right)+m_{\phi}^{2}\left(m_{X}^{2}-m_{\phi}^{2}\right)}{m_{\omega}^{2} m_{\phi}^{2}\left(2 m_{X}^{2}-m_{\omega}^{2}-m_{\phi}^{2}\right)} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transition form factor $a_{0}^{0} \gamma \gamma^{*}$ has the same structure with the replacement $\omega \leftrightarrow \rho$.

The virtual photon from the scalar meson decay lies in the physical region of the $\omega$ and $\rho$ mesons, so the $\omega$ - and $\rho$ meson propagators should be modified by introducing the finite meson widths. We use $m_{X}=1.2 \mathrm{GeV}$. The pole $t$ $=m_{X}^{2}$ is outside of the physical region, so we set $\Gamma_{X}=0$.


FIG. 4. Magnetic dipole transitions $V \rightarrow P \gamma^{*}$.

## V. MESON DECAYS TO ONE MESON AND A $l^{+} l^{-}$PAIR

The radiative decays $V \rightarrow P \gamma$ and $P \rightarrow V \gamma$ are well studied experimentally. The dilepton modes are measured only for decays $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$and $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^{+} e^{-}$. We use data on the radiative decays to fix coupling constants entering the $V P \gamma$ vertexes. These values are in good agreement with the $S U(3)$ symmetry relations. We use the framework of the extended VMD model and impose constraints to the residues of the transition form factors from the quark counting rules. The calculation of the dilepton spectra is then a straightforward task.

## A. Decay modes $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}, \rho \rightarrow \pi e^{+} e^{-}$, and $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$

The effective vertex for the $V \rightarrow P \gamma$ radiative decay with $V=\omega, \rho^{0}$, and $\phi$ and $P=\pi^{0}, \eta$, and $\eta^{\prime}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}_{V \gamma P}=-e f_{V \gamma P} \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu} \partial_{\sigma} V_{\tau} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} P . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix element for the $V \rightarrow P \gamma^{*}$ transition can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=-i e f_{V \gamma P} F_{V \gamma P}\left(M^{2}\right) \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu} \epsilon_{\tau}(P) P_{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) k_{\nu} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diagram for this transition is shown in Fig. 4. The vertex form factor $F_{V \gamma P}(t)$ normalized at $t=0$ to unity depends on the square of the photon four-momentum, $t=M^{2}$. The width of the decay $V \rightarrow P \gamma^{*}$ has the form (see, e.g. $[44,45]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(V \rightarrow P \gamma^{*}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{3} f_{V \gamma P}^{2}\left|F_{V \gamma P}\left(k^{2}\right)\right|^{2} p^{* 3}\left(\sqrt{s}, \mu_{P}, M\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sqrt{s}=m_{V}$ is the vector meson mass and $\mu_{P}$ is the pseudoscalar meson mass. The limit $M^{2}=0$ describes the radiative decay $V \rightarrow P \gamma$. Using the experimental value of the $\omega$ $\rightarrow \pi^{0} \gamma$ width, one gets $f_{\omega \gamma \pi}=2.3 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$. The decay width $V \rightarrow P l^{+} l^{-}$is connected to the radiative width $V \rightarrow P \gamma[46]:$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \Gamma\left(V \rightarrow P l^{+} l^{-}\right)}{\Gamma(V \rightarrow P \gamma)}= & \left(\frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \mu_{P}, M\right)}{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \mu_{P}, 0\right)}\right)^{3} \\
& \times\left|F_{V \gamma P}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right)$is given by Eq. (2.6). The first factor is the ratio between squares of the matrix element (5.2) at
$k^{2}=M^{2}$ and $k^{2}=0$, multiplied by the ratio of the twoparticle phase spaces $\Phi_{2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \mu_{P}, M\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \mu_{P}, 0\right)$.

The vertex form factor $F_{V \gamma P}(t)$ falls off asymptotically as $1 / t^{2}$ [33]. The additional power $1 / t$ as compared to the asymptotics of the pion form factor occurs because of suppression of the quark spin-flip amplitude due to conservation of the quark helicity in interactions of quarks with gluons.

The $\omega \gamma \pi$ transition form factor can be reproduced taking the contribution of the ground-state $\rho$ meson and at least one excited $\rho$ meson into account. The extended VMD model with $m_{\rho}=769 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $m_{\rho^{\prime}}=1450 \mathrm{MeV}$ provides the correct asymptotics and yields a better description of the experimental data $[47,48]$ than the one-pole model with only the ground-state $\rho$-meson. However, it still underestimates the slope of the form factor at $t=0$. The experimental value $F_{\omega \gamma \pi}^{\prime}(0)=2.4 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{GeV}^{-2} \quad[30]$ prefers a value $m_{\rho^{\prime}}$ $\approx 1.2 \mathrm{GeV}$.

The possible existence of vector mesons $I=0,1$ with masses around 1.2 GeV was under discussion for a long time. A quite strong evidence for significant contributions to the spectral functions of the nucleon form factors at values $t$ lower than 1.45 GeV comes from the fact that the experimental data for the Sachs form factors are reasonably described by the dipole formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{E p}(t) \approx G_{M p}(t) / \mu_{p} \approx G_{M n}(t) / \mu_{n} \approx \frac{1}{(1-t / 0.71)^{2}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is in units $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. This formula has a double pole. The spectral functions are proportional to

$$
\delta^{\prime}(t-0.71) \approx \frac{\delta\left(t-m_{\rho}^{2}\right)-\delta\left(t-m_{X}^{2}\right)}{-m_{\rho}^{2}+m_{X}^{2}}
$$

The double pole in Eq. (5.5) indicates an enhancement in the spectral functions at $m_{X}$ close to $m_{\rho}$, whose nature is not yet clear as long as the existence of the nonstrange vector mesons at 1.2 GeV is not established. In any case, it is desirable to shift masses of the first excited nonstrange "vector mesons'" to lower values. This has been done in some popular fits of the nucleon form factors (see, e.g. [49]). The more recent fits use, however, higher values of the vector meson masses [50]. In our calculations, the value $m_{X}$ is assumed to be 1.2 GeV , as extracted form the slope of the $F_{\omega \gamma \pi}(t)$ at $t=0$.

The multiplicative representation of the transition form factor $\omega \gamma \pi$, which in the zero-width limit is completely equivalent to the additive representation, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\omega \gamma \pi}(t)=\frac{m_{\rho}^{2} m_{X}^{2}}{\left(m_{\rho}^{2}-t\right)\left(m_{X}^{2}-t\right)} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The experimental data on the transition form factors are available for the $\eta^{\prime} \gamma \gamma^{*}, \eta \gamma \gamma^{*}$, and $\omega \pi \gamma^{*}$ transitions. The parametrization (4.5) for the $\eta^{\prime}$ form factor is in good agreement with the data from Ref. [30], Fig. 26. For the $\eta$-meson form factor (4.4), our curve coincides with the VMD curve
from Fig. 24, Ref. [30], since the parameter $\Lambda=0.77 \mathrm{GeV}$ in Eq. (4.4) is close to the $\rho$-meson mass.

The one-pole VMD model is known to be in rather pure agreement with the data on the $\omega \pi \gamma^{*}$ transition form factor [51]. The two-pole extended VMD model, Eq. (5.6), improves the agreement without introducing new parameters. It fits well the experimental points at $t<0.2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, but underestimates the last three ones from Ref. [51] below $0.4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. By the price of introducing a new vector meson, $\rho^{\prime \prime}$, and one fitting parameter, the last three points can be described better. The modification consists in inserting an additional multiplier $m_{\rho^{\prime \prime}}^{2}(1+C t) /\left(m_{\rho^{\prime \prime}}^{2}-t\right)$ into the right side of Eq. (5.6), with $C$ being a free parameter. This model again satisfies the quark counting rules. However, it overestimates the form factors values at $t \approx 0.2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. For the present calculations, we stay in the framework of the simplest two-pole VMD model, Eq. (5.6). It is clear, however, that if one needs to calculate the dilepton production for the DLS and/or future HADES data, the sensitivity of the results on the $\omega \pi \gamma^{*}$ form factor values must be investigated.

The $\phi$-meson decay width is given by Eq. (5.4). In the $\phi$-meson decay, the emitted photon has isospin $I=1$, so the transition form factor has the same form as for the $\omega$ meson. The $l^{+} l^{-}$pairs appear in the physical region of the decay $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rho^{0}$. In order to include the $\rho$-meson direct contribution, the form factor (5.6) should be modified by introducing the finite $\rho$-meson width. In the cases of the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ and $\rho \rightarrow \pi e^{+} e^{-}$decays, vector mesons appear in the unphysical regions and their widths are not so important.

The direct contribution to the $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rho^{0}$ decay can be estimated with the use of the narrow-width approximation for the transition form factor in analogy with the decays $\eta^{\prime}$ $\rightarrow \gamma \rho^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$. The form factor is proportional to square of the residue $c_{\rho}$ [cf. Eq. (4.6)] which is fixed by Eq. (5.6). The results of the calculations $B\left(\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}\right)=2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ are in reasonable agreement with the product $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rho^{0}\right) B^{\text {expt }}\left(\rho^{0}\right.$ $\left.\rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)=(1.9 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$, and similarly for the $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ decay mode.

In case of the $\rho^{ \pm}$- and $\rho^{0}$-meson decays, the effective vertex has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \mathcal{L}_{\rho \gamma \pi}= & -e f_{\rho \gamma \pi} \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu} \partial_{\sigma} \rho_{\tau}^{\alpha} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \pi^{\alpha} \\
= & -e f_{\rho \gamma \pi} \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu}\left(\partial_{\sigma} \rho_{\tau}^{0} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \pi^{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{\sigma} \rho_{\tau}^{+} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \pi^{-}+\partial_{\sigma} \rho_{\tau}^{-} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \pi^{+}\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The vertex (5.1) for $V=\rho^{0}$ and $P=\pi^{0}$ is a part of the vertex (5.7). Equation (5.4) remains valid for $V=\rho^{ \pm}, \rho^{0}$ and $P$ $=\pi^{ \pm}, \pi^{0}$. The photon emitted in the decay $\rho \rightarrow \pi \gamma^{*}$ is in the isoscalar state, respectively, the transition form factor $F_{\rho \gamma \pi}(t)$ receives contributions from the $\omega$ and $\phi$ mesons. The effect of the $\phi$ meson is small due to the OZI rule. The small difference between masses of the $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons is beyond the accuracy of the VMD model. Away from the $\rho$ and $\omega$-mesons poles $F_{\omega \gamma \pi}(t) \approx F_{\rho \gamma \pi}(t)$, whereas $F_{\omega \gamma \pi}(t)$ $=F_{\phi \gamma \pi}(t)$ everywhere.

## B. Decay modes $\omega \rightarrow \eta l^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}, \rho^{0} \rightarrow \eta l^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}$, and $\phi \rightarrow \eta l^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}$

These decays are treated in the same way as the decays discussed above. The effective vertex for the $V \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ transitions with $V=\omega, \rho^{0}$, and $\phi$ has the form of Eq. (5.1) where one should put $P=\eta$. The decay widths are given by Eq. (5.4) with $\mu_{P}$ being the $\eta$-meson mass. In the simplest version of the VMD model, the transition form factor $F_{V \gamma \eta}(t)$ has the form of Eq. (5.6). For the $\omega$ - and $\phi$-meson decays, the form factor is determined by the $\omega$-meson mass, while for the $\rho^{0}$-meson decay it is determined by the $\rho$-meson mass.

## C. Decay modes $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{e}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}^{-}$and $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\rho}^{0} \boldsymbol{e}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}^{-}$

These decays are of the same nature. The effective vertex for the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow V \gamma^{*}$ transitions with $V=\omega$ and $\rho^{0}$ has the form of Eq. (5.1). The spectrum of the $l^{+} l^{-}$pair in $P \rightarrow V l^{+} l^{-}$can be obtained from Eq. (5.4) with the replacements $P \leftrightarrow V$ and $\mu_{P} \leftrightarrow m_{V}$. In our case, $V=\omega$ and $\rho^{0}, P=\eta^{\prime}$, the value $\sqrt{s}$ $=\mu_{P}$ stands for the $\eta^{\prime}$-mesons mass. The transition form factor $F_{\eta^{\prime} \gamma V}(t)$ has the asymptotics $1 / t^{2}$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. It is described within the VMD model by the vector meson contributions from isoscalar and isovector channels, respectively.

## D. Decay mode $a_{1}(\mathbf{1 2 6 0}) \rightarrow \pi l^{+} l^{-}$

The axial vector meson $a_{1}(1260)$ has quantum numbers $I^{G}\left(J^{P C}\right)=1^{-}\left(1^{++}\right)$. Its mass is above the $\phi(1020)$-meson mass, so we do not expect a noticeable effect from the production and the decay of such a meson at GSI energies. This resonance becomes, however, important at higher energies (see [24,52]).

The $a_{1} \rightarrow \pi \gamma^{*}$ transition is an electric dipole $E 1$ transition. The effective vertex has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}_{a_{1} \gamma \pi}=f_{a_{1} \gamma \pi}\left(\partial_{\nu} a_{1 \mu}^{\alpha}-\partial_{\mu} a_{1 \nu}^{\alpha}\right) F_{\mu \nu} \pi^{\alpha}, \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\mu \nu}$ is the electromagnetic tensor. The matrix element for the process $a_{1} \rightarrow \pi \gamma^{*}$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}= & -i e f_{a_{1} \gamma \pi} F_{a_{1} \gamma \pi}\left(M^{2}\right) \epsilon_{\tau}(P)\left(g_{\tau \sigma} P_{\lambda}-g_{\tau \lambda} P_{\sigma}\right) \\
& \times\left(g_{\mu \sigma} k_{\lambda}-g_{\mu \lambda} k_{\sigma}\right) \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k), \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ is the momentum of the decaying meson, $k$ is the photon momentum, $F_{a_{1} \gamma \pi}(t)$ is the transition form factor, $F_{a_{1} \gamma \pi}(0)=1$. The square of the matrix element summed up over photon polarizations and averaged over polarizations of the $a_{1}$ meson is proportional to $p^{* 2}(\sqrt{s}, \mu, M)+\frac{3}{2} M^{2}$ where $\sqrt{s}=m_{a_{1}}$. The decay width $a_{1} \rightarrow \pi l^{+} l^{-}$takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \Gamma\left(a_{1} \rightarrow \pi l^{+} l^{-}\right)}{\Gamma\left(a_{1} \rightarrow \pi \gamma\right)}= & \frac{p^{* 2}(\sqrt{s}, \mu, M)+\frac{3}{2} M^{2}}{p^{* 2}(\sqrt{s}, \mu, 0)} \frac{p^{*}(\sqrt{s}, \mu, M)}{p^{*}(\sqrt{s}, \mu, 0)} \\
& \times\left|F_{a_{1} \gamma \pi}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG. 5. $\eta$-meson decays through a two-step mechanism.
This expression differs from the analogous expression from Ref. [24], Eq. (6.18), by the extra term $\frac{3}{2} M^{2}$ in the ratio for squares of the matrix elements. Such a term is needed to ensure the correct threshold behavior of the $s$-wave $a_{1}$ $\rightarrow \pi \gamma^{*}$ decay.

## VI. MESON DECAYS TO TWO MESONS AND $\boldsymbol{l}^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}$PAIR

The four-body meson decays proceed either through a two-step mechanism similar to that discussed a long time ago by Gell-Mann, Sharp, and Wagner [53] in connection to the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ decay or through a bremsstrahlung mechanism when a virtual photon is emitted form an external meson line. In the first case, the matrix element is a smooth function of the photon mass. At small $M$ such that $2 m_{e}$ $\leq M$, the differential widths behave like $1 / M$, according to Eq. (2.10). In the second case, the matrix element is singular at small $M$, as a result of which the differential width increases faster than $1 / M$.

In this section, we derive analytical expressions for the double differential widths $d^{2} \Gamma / d s_{12} d M$ of the $\eta$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}, \quad \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}, \quad \rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}, \quad \rho^{ \pm}$ $\rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}, f_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$, and $a_{0}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \eta l^{+} l^{-}$decays, with $s_{12}$ being the invariant mass of two outgoing mesons. The widths of the other decays are calculated numerically.

The experimental data are available for the $\eta$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$decay only, with large errors [54]. Nevertheless, the dilepton widths can be predicted using the conventional assumptions on a two-step decay mechanism and/or a bremsstrahlung decay mechanism.

## A. Decay modes $\boldsymbol{\eta} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-} \boldsymbol{l}^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}$and $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \boldsymbol{l}^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}$

The diagram contributing to the decay $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ is shown in Fig. 5. The $\rho^{0} \gamma \eta$ vertex is given by Eq. (5.1). The vertex for the $\rho \rightarrow \pi \pi$ decay has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \mathcal{L}_{\rho \pi \pi}= & \frac{1}{2} f_{\rho \pi \pi} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \rho_{\mu}^{\alpha}\left(\pi^{\beta} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} \pi^{\gamma}\right) \\
= & f_{\rho \pi \pi}\left(\rho_{\mu}^{0} \pi^{+} i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}{ }_{\mu} \pi^{-}+\rho_{\mu}^{+} \pi^{-} i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}{ }_{\mu} \pi^{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\rho_{\mu}^{-} \pi^{0} i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} \pi^{+}\right), \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ are isotopic indices of the $\rho$ and $\pi$ mesons. The coupling constant $f_{\rho \pi \pi}=6.0$ is determined from the $\rho$-meson width

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{6 \pi s} f_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2} p^{* 3}(\sqrt{s}, \mu, \mu) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sqrt{s}=m_{\rho}$ is the $\rho$-meson mass. The value $f_{\rho \pi \pi}$ is in good agreement with the relation $f_{\rho \pi \pi} / g_{\rho}=1$ which follows from the $\rho^{0}$-meson dominance in the pion form factor. In the FFGS model [55] the $t$-dependence of the pion form factor $F_{\pi}(t)$ is attributed to the $\rho$-meson propagator. It means that the constant $f_{\rho \pi \pi}$ does not depend on the value $t$ when the two pions are on the mass shell.

The radiative decay $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ has been measured in Ref. [56]. The $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ decay is studied experimentally much better (see [57-59] and references therein). The last decay is more complicated, since two pions occur in the physical region of the $\rho$ meson. It was shown that an attempt to fit the experimental data within the framework of the cascade model $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \gamma \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ results in overestimating the events with a $\pi \pi$ invariant mass below the $\rho$-meson mass. In Ref. [59], a nonresonant contribution is added to the amplitude and its parameters are fixed by fitting the experimental data. One of the modifications considered for the $\rho$-meson propagator is the following one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s_{12}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow\left(s_{12}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}\right)^{-1}+C_{\rho}, \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a complex value of $C_{\rho}$.
It was proposed that the existence of a nonresonant term is connected to the chiral box anomaly [60]. The anomaly results to a modification of the $\rho$-meson propagator similar to that of Eq. (6.3) with $C_{\rho}=1 /\left(3 m_{\rho}^{2}\right)$. The positive sign of the term added guarantees a reduction of the number of events on the left hand side of the $\rho$-meson peak. The contact term describes a production of two mesons in the relative $p$-wave where a strong resonant pion-pion interaction due to existence of the $\rho$ meson is present. The final state interaction can be taken into account by dividing the bare vertex by the Jost function determined by the $\pi$-meson $p$-wave phase shift. In this way, the $\rho$-meson propagator reoccurs, and so we get from the contact term the same old $\rho$-meson pole term of the earlier models. The net effect of the box anomaly is a redefinition of the coupling constant for those models which include only the single diagram of Fig. 5.

We keep the constant term $C_{\rho}$ in the form suggested by Ref. [60] and interpret it purely phenomenologically as a modification of the $\rho$-meson propagator needed to describe the distribution of the two-pion events in the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ decay. The contact term $C_{\rho}$ for the $\eta$ meson is assumed to be of the same magnitude as for the $\eta^{\prime}$ meson.

The matrix element of the $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ decay has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=-i e f_{\rho \pi \pi} f_{\rho \gamma \eta} \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\mu}= & \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu}\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)_{\tau}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)_{\sigma} k_{\nu} \\
& \times\left(\frac{1}{s_{12}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}}+C_{\rho}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are the pion momenta, $s_{12}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, k$ is the photon momentum, and $m_{\rho}$ and $\Gamma_{\rho}$ are the $\rho$-meson mass and width. Energy-momentum conservation implies $P=p_{1}$ $+p_{2}+k$. After summation of the squared matrix element over the photon polarizations and averaging over the direction of the two pion momenta in their c.m. frame, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv & \equiv \frac{d \Omega_{12}}{4 \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{*}\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right) \\
= & \frac{8}{3} s p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right) \\
& \times\left|\frac{1}{s_{12}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}}+C_{\rho}\right|^{2}, \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

with $d \Omega_{12}=2 \pi \sin \theta d \theta$, and $\theta$ being the angle between the momenta $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ in the c.m. frame of two pions.

The decay width $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(\eta & \left.\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha}{16 \pi^{2} s} f_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2} f_{\rho \gamma \eta}^{2}\left|F_{\rho \gamma \eta}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \int_{4 \mu^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-M)^{2}} \mathcal{R} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)}{\sqrt{s_{12}}} d s_{12} \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We neglect thereby for the $\rho$-meson off-shellness in the form factor $F_{\rho \gamma \eta}(t)$. The value $f_{\rho \gamma \eta}=1.86 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}\left[=\sqrt{2 / 3} f_{\omega \gamma \pi}\right.$ due to $S U(3)$ symmetry] is extracted from the $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ width with the use of Eq. (5.3).

The dilepton spectrum in the decay $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$is described by expression

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Gamma\left(\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}\right)= & \Gamma\left(\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
& \times M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} . \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The model for the decay $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ is a direct extension of the model for the $\eta$-meson decay. The coupling constant $f_{\rho \gamma \eta^{\prime}}=1.3 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}\left[=(1 / \sqrt{3}) f_{\omega \gamma \pi}\right.$ due to $S U(3)$ symmetry] can be extracted from the radiative $\eta^{\prime}$-meson decay width. The two-step decay $\eta\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \rho^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ is forbidden by $C$-parity conservation. Constructing the dilepton spectra, one should not take into account, e.g., channels $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \gamma^{*}$ simultaneously. The second one is already contained in the first one.


FIG. 6. $\rho^{0}$-meson decays into a virtual photon and two charged pions.

## B. Decay mode $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \boldsymbol{l}^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}$

The diagrams contributing to the decay $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ are depicted in Fig. 6. The first two diagrams describe the photon bremsstrahlung. The third contact diagram is added to restore the gauge invariance.

The vertex $\rho \pi \pi$ is given by Eq. (6.1). The matrix element of the process $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\operatorname{ief}_{\rho \pi \pi} F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right) \epsilon_{\tau}(P) \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}= & \left(2 Q_{1}-P\right)_{\tau} \frac{\left(2 Q_{1}-k\right)_{\mu}}{Q_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}} \\
& +\left(2 Q_{2}-P\right)_{\tau} \frac{\left(2 Q_{2}-k\right)_{\mu}}{Q_{2}^{2}-\mu^{2}}-2 g_{\tau \mu} \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $Q_{1}=p_{1}+k, Q_{2}=p_{2}+k, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are the $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$ momenta, $\mu$ is the pion mass, and $F_{\pi}(t)$ is the pion electromagnetic form factor. The tensor part of the matrix element, $\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}$, is transverse with respect to the photon momentum, $k$, and the $\rho$-meson momentum, $P$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} k_{\mu}=0,  \tag{6.11}\\
& \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} P_{\tau}=0 \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The role of the last equation is the elimination of the coupling of the spurious spin-zero component of the $\rho$-meson wave function from the physical sector. Gauge invariance requires that the form factor entering the third diagram be equal to the pion form factor.

The square of the matrix element summed up over the photon polarizations, averaged over the initial $\rho$-meson polarizations and over directions of the pion momenta in the c.m. frame of the two pions, has a compact form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv & \int \frac{d \Omega_{12}}{4 \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma \nu}^{*} \frac{1}{3}\left(-g_{\tau \sigma}+\frac{P_{\tau} P_{\sigma}}{s}\right)\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right) \\
= & \frac{2}{3 B_{\pi}^{2}}\left(4 B_{\pi}^{2}+\left(M^{2}-4 \mu^{2}\right)\left(s-4 \mu^{2}\right) F(\xi)\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(s-4 \mu^{2}\right)\left(M^{2}-4 \mu^{2}+2 s_{12}\right)+2 s_{12} M^{2}\right] L(\xi)\right) \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s=P^{2}=m_{\rho}^{2}$ and $s_{12}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}$. We have also

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(\xi)=\frac{1}{1-\xi^{2}}  \tag{6.14}\\
L(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 \xi} \ln \left(\frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}\right), \tag{6.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi=\frac{2}{B_{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{s}{s_{12}}} p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right)  \tag{6.16}\\
B_{\pi}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s+M^{2}-s_{12}\right) . \tag{6.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The decay width $\Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right)$ is given by

$$
\Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{\alpha}{16 \pi^{2} s} f_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2}\left|F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \int_{4 \mu^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-M)^{2}} \mathcal{R} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)}{\sqrt{s_{12}}} d s_{12} . \tag{6.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral over the $s_{12}$ runs from $4 \mu^{2}$ to $(\sqrt{s}-M)^{2}$. In the case of real photon emission $\left(M^{2}=0\right)$, we reproduce the corresponding expression from Ref. [62]. The pion form factor can be taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\pi}(t)=\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}-t-i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}} . \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dilepton spectrum can be obtained with the use of Eq. (2.10) as

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}\right)= & \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
& \times M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The square of the matrix element at small invariant masses $2 m_{e} \leq M$ and small momenta of the virtual photon is proportional to $1 / \omega^{* 2}$ where $\omega^{*}=B_{\pi} / \sqrt{s}$ is the photon energy in the c.m. frame of the decaying meson. The contribution of the region $s_{12} \leq(\sqrt{s}-M)^{2}$ to the integral over the $s_{12}$ is of the order $\log ^{3}(1 / M)$, and so $d B / d M \sim \log ^{3}(1 / M) / M$. This is a general feature of the bremsstrahlung mechanism. The square of the matrix element in a two-step decay contains no large parameter. In such a case, $d B / d M \sim 1 / M$.


FIG. 7. $\rho^{0}$-meson decays into a virtual photon and two neutral pions.

> C. Decay modes $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}, \omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$, and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$

The diagram contributing to the decay $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}$ is shown in Fig. 7. The vertex $\rho \omega \pi$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \mathcal{L}_{\rho \omega \pi}= & f_{\rho \omega \pi} \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu} \partial_{\sigma} \omega_{\tau} \partial_{\nu} \rho_{\mu}^{\alpha} \pi^{\alpha} \\
= & f_{\rho \omega \pi} \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \mu \nu}\left(\partial_{\sigma} \omega_{\tau} \partial_{\nu} \rho_{\mu}^{0} \pi^{0}+\partial_{\sigma} \omega_{\tau} \partial_{\nu} \rho_{\mu}^{+} \pi^{-}\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{\sigma} \omega_{\tau} \partial_{\nu} \rho_{\mu}^{-} \pi^{+}\right) . \tag{6.21}
\end{align*}
$$

The coupling constant $f_{\rho \omega \pi} \approx 16 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ was determined by Gell-Mann, Sharp, and Wagner [53] from the $\omega$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ decay assuming a two-step mechanism $\omega$ $\rightarrow \rho \pi \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$.

In Refs. [45] a direct contact term $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ originating from the chiral anomaly is taken into account. The analysis of the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ and $\phi \rightarrow \rho \pi, \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ decays with inclusion of the contact vertex gives a value $f_{\rho \omega \pi}$ $\approx 12 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$. In the contact vertex, one can always select a pion pair with quantum numbers of the $\rho$ meson. The finalstate interaction between these pions, as usually, can be taken into account dividing the bare vertex by the Jost function determined from the isovector $p$-wave $\pi \pi$-scattering phase shift. In the two-pion channels with the $\rho$-meson quantum numbers, the ordinary $\rho$-meson propagator therefore occurs, as a result of which the contact vertex reduces to the ordinary pole diagrams of the same old two-step mechanism.

The coupling constant $f_{\rho \omega \pi}$ can be extracted from the decays $\rho \rightarrow \pi \gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \pi \gamma$. The one-pole VMD approximation for the transition form factors gives $f_{\rho \omega \pi}=f_{\rho \gamma \pi} g_{\omega}$ $\approx 12.6 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ and $f_{\rho \omega \pi}=f_{\omega \gamma \pi} g_{\rho} \approx 11.7 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$, respectively. In the two-pole VMD model which provides the transition form factors with the correct asymptotics these numbers should be multiplied by a factor $m_{X}^{2} /\left(m_{X}^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}\right)$. For $m_{X}=1.2 \mathrm{GeV}$, one gets, respectively, $f_{\rho \omega \pi} \approx 21 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ and $f_{\rho \omega \pi} \approx 20 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$. The QCD sum rules [63] give $f_{\rho \omega \pi}$ $\approx 16 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$. In Ref. [64], the value $f_{\rho \omega \pi} \approx 14 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ is used.

We consider contributions to the strong part of the decay amplitudes from the ground-state vector mesons only. The two-step mechanism of the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ decay with a ground-state $\rho$ meson in the intermediate state is essentially identical to the mechanism of the decays discussed in this subsection. In our calculations, we use $f_{\rho \omega \pi}=16 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$.

The vertex $\omega \gamma \pi$ is defined by Eq. (5.1). The matrix element of the decay $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=-i e f_{\rho \omega \pi} f_{\omega \gamma \pi} F_{\omega \gamma \pi}\left(M^{2}\right) \epsilon_{\tau}(P) \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k), \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 8. $\omega$-meson decays into a virtual photon and two neutral pions.
with

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}= & \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \rho \lambda} P_{\sigma} Q_{1 \lambda} \epsilon_{\rho \kappa \mu \nu} Q_{1 \kappa} k_{\nu} \frac{1}{Q_{1}^{2}-m_{\omega}^{2}} \\
& +\epsilon_{\tau \sigma \rho \lambda} P_{\sigma} Q_{2 \lambda} \epsilon_{\rho \kappa \mu \nu} Q_{2 \kappa} k_{\nu} \frac{1}{Q_{2}^{2}-m_{\omega}^{2}} \tag{6.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{1}=p_{1}+k$ and $Q_{2}=p_{2}+k$.
The square of the matrix element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv \int \frac{d \Omega_{12}}{4 \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma \nu}^{*} \frac{1}{3}\left(-g_{\tau \sigma}+\frac{P_{\tau} P_{\sigma}}{s}\right)\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right), \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is averaged numerically over the directions of the photon momentum $\mathbf{k}$ in the c.m. frame of the pion 1 and the photon.

The decay widths can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow\right. & \left.\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{32 \pi^{2} s} f_{\rho \omega \pi}^{2} f_{\omega \gamma \pi}^{2}\left|F_{\omega \gamma \pi}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \int_{(\mu+M)^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-\mu)^{2}} \mathcal{R} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)}{\sqrt{s_{12}}} d s_{12} . \tag{6.25}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral over the $s_{12}$ runs from $(\mu+M)^{2}$ to $(\sqrt{s}-\mu)^{2}$. The additional factor 2 in the denominator occurs due to identity of two pions. The dilepton spectrum in the decay $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$can be constructed with the use of Eq. (2.10):

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}\right)= & \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
& \times M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} \tag{6.26}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}$ decay is analogous to the $\rho^{0}$ $\rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}$ decay. The corresponding diagrams are shown in Fig. 8. The matrix element has the form of Eqs. (6.22) and (6.23) with interchanged $V \gamma P$ coupling constants, $f_{\omega \gamma \pi} \leftrightarrow f_{\rho \gamma \pi}$, and vector meson masses, $m_{\omega} \leftrightarrow m_{\rho}$.

The diagrams contributing to the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ decay are shown in Fig. 9. The first two diagrams (a) and (b) correspond to the common two-step mechanism. The last three

(a)

(c)

(b)

(d)

(e)

FIG. 9. $\omega$-meson decays into a virtual photon and two charged pions. The first two diagrams (a) and (b) are gauge invariant. They correspond to the two-step mechanism of the $\omega$-meson decays. The next two diagrams (c) and (d) occurring due to the $\omega \rho$ mixing correspond to the photon bremsstrahlung. The last diagram (e) restores the gauge invariance of the previous two diagrams.
diagrams occur due to the $\omega \rho$ mixing and correspond to the bremsstrahlung. The diagram (e) restores the gauge invariance of the amplitude. The bremsstrahlung dominates at small values of the $e^{-} e^{+}$invariant mass. The vertices $\omega \rho \pi$ and $\rho \gamma \pi$ entering into the matrix element of the $\omega$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ decay have the form of Eqs. (6.21) and (5.7).

The first two diagrams and the last three diagrams from Fig. 9 are separately gauge invariant. These two classes of diagrams contrary to the statement of Ref. [61] interfere: Two pions in the two-step part of the amplitude are in an isosinglet state. Due to the VMD, the photon in the diagrams (c) and (d) is emitted from the $\rho^{0}$ meson. It is equivalent to introducing the pion form factor into the $\pi \pi \gamma$ vertex. The outgoing pion and the $\rho$ meson with a common vertex form an isotriplet which, in turn, forms a new isotriplet with the second outgoing pion. The isospin wave function of the final state looks like $[\vec{\pi} \times[\vec{\pi} \times \vec{\rho}]]=\vec{\pi}(\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\rho})-\vec{\rho}(\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi})$. The first term corresponds to two neutral pions and can be dropped, while the second term describes two charged pions in an isoscalar state. Two pions in an isoscalar state from the diagrams (a) and (b) and a photon interfere with two pions in an isoscalar state from diagrams (c) and (d) and a photon. The final states are identical.

The interference pattern depends on the production dynamics. The physical $\omega$-meson wave function has the form $|\omega\rangle=\left|\omega_{0}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left|\rho_{0}\right\rangle$ where $\left|\omega_{0}\right\rangle$ and $\left|\rho_{0}\right\rangle$ are pure isospin $I$ $=0$ and 1 states and $\varepsilon$ is a complex $\omega \rho$ mixing parameter. The total matrix element is given by $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}^{[p]}+\varepsilon \mathcal{M}^{[b]}$. The value $\mathcal{M}^{[p]}$ is the same as in Eq. (6.22). The value $\mathcal{M}^{[b]}$ is given by Eq. (6.9). The $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ decay width represents a sum of three terms

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right)= & \Gamma^{[p]}\left(\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
& +\Gamma^{[b]}\left(\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
& +\Gamma^{[p b]}\left(\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right) \tag{6.27}
\end{align*}
$$

originating from the vector-meson pole diagrams $[p]$, the bremsstrahlung diagrams [b], and from their interference $[p b]$. It was pointed out in Ref. [62] that $\Gamma^{[p]}(\omega$ $\left.\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right)=2 \Gamma\left(\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}\right)$. The bremsstrahlung contribution to the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ decay equals $\Gamma^{[b]}(\omega$ $\left.\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right)=|\varepsilon|^{2} \Gamma^{[b]}\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right)$, with $|\varepsilon|=[\Gamma(\omega$ $\left.\left.\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right) / \Gamma\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}=3.4 \times 10^{-2} \quad$ being the $\rho^{0}$-meson admixture, as determined from the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$decay.

The interference term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}^{[p b]} \equiv 2 \int \frac{d \Omega_{12}}{4 \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{[p]} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma \nu}{ }^{[b] *} \frac{1}{3}\left(-g_{\tau \sigma}+\frac{P_{\tau} P_{\sigma}}{s}\right)\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right) \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R}^{[p b]}= & \frac{8}{3} s p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right) p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) \\
& \times \frac{1}{B_{\pi} B_{\rho}\left(\xi+\zeta_{\rho}\right)}\left[\xi L(\xi)+\zeta_{\rho} L\left(\zeta_{\rho}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(1-L(\xi)) / \xi+\left(1-L\left(\zeta_{\rho}\right)\right) / \zeta_{\rho}\right] \tag{6.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{\rho}=B_{\pi}+\mu^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}$ and $\zeta_{\rho}=B_{\pi} \xi / B_{\rho}$. The value $B_{\pi}$ is defined by Eq. (6.17). The interference contribution to the width is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^{[p b]} & \left(\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2} s} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(i e f_{\rho \pi \pi} F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right)\right)^{*}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(-i e \varepsilon f_{\rho \omega \pi} f_{\omega \gamma \pi} F_{\omega \gamma \pi}\left(M^{2}\right)\right)\right\} \int_{(\mu+M)^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-\mu)^{2}} \mathcal{R}^{[p b]} \\
& \times \mathcal{R} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)}{\sqrt{s_{12}}} d s_{12} \tag{6.30}
\end{align*}
$$

The couplings $f_{\rho \omega \pi}$ and $f_{\rho \pi \pi}$ are determined up a sign. However, the relations $f_{\omega \gamma \pi} \approx f_{\rho \omega \pi} / g_{\rho}$ and $f_{\rho \pi \pi} / g_{\rho} \approx 1$ allow to fix the sign of the interference term. The phase $\varphi$ of the mixing parameter $\varepsilon$ is a sum of phases $\varphi_{\text {prod }}$ and $\varphi_{\text {decay }}$ originating, respectively, from production and $\pi \pi$ decay of the $\omega$ meson. The last one is close to $\pi / 2$ (see, e.g., Ref. [65]). We set the value $\varphi_{\text {prod }}$ equal to zero. Since the product $F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right)^{*} F_{\omega \gamma \pi}\left(M^{2}\right)$ is approximately real below 1 GeV , the interference can then be neglected.

The dilepton spectra of the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$decays can be obtained as usual from Eq. (2.10).

## D. Decay modes $\rho \rightarrow \eta \pi e^{+} e^{-}$and $\omega \rightarrow \eta \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$

These decays also proceed through the two-step mechanism. The diagrams are shown in Figs. 10 and 11. The matrix element of the decay $\rho \rightarrow \eta \pi \gamma^{*}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=e f_{\rho \omega \pi} f_{\omega \gamma \eta} \epsilon_{\tau}(P) \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with


FIG. 10. $\rho$-meson decays into a virtual photon and $\eta$ and $\pi$ mesons.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}= & \epsilon_{\tau \sigma \rho \lambda} P_{\sigma} Q_{1 \lambda} \epsilon_{\rho \kappa \mu \nu} Q_{1 \kappa} k_{\nu} \frac{1}{Q_{1}^{2}-m_{\omega}^{2}} \\
& +\epsilon_{\tau \sigma \rho \lambda} P_{\sigma} Q_{2 \lambda} \epsilon_{\rho \kappa \mu \nu} Q_{2 \kappa} k_{\nu} \frac{1}{Q_{2}^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}} \tag{6.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{1}=p_{1}+k, Q_{2}=p_{2}+k, p_{1}$ is the pion momentum, and $p_{2}$ is the $\eta$-meson momentum. We take the $S U(3)$ symmetry relation $f_{\rho \omega \pi} f_{\omega \gamma \eta}=2 f_{\rho \rho \eta} f_{\rho \gamma \pi}$ into account.

The average of the squared matrix element,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv \int \frac{d \Omega_{12}}{4 \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma \nu} * \frac{1}{3}\left(-g_{\tau \sigma}+\frac{P_{\tau} P_{\sigma}}{s}\right)\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right), \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

is calculated numerically. The integration over the directions of the momenta of the two mesons is performed in the c.m. frame of $\pi$ and $\eta$. The decay widths can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(\rho \rightarrow & \left.\eta \pi \gamma^{*}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha}{16 \pi^{2} s} f_{\rho \omega \pi}^{2} f_{\omega \gamma \eta}^{2}\left|F_{\omega \gamma \eta}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \int_{\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right)^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-M)^{2}} \mathcal{R} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{s_{12}}} d s_{12} . \tag{6.34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu^{\prime}$ is the $\eta$-meson mass. In the form factor $F_{\omega \gamma \eta}(t)$, the shift of the $\omega$ meson from the mass shell is neglected. The dilepton spectrum in the decays $\rho \rightarrow \eta \pi e^{+} e^{-}$can be constructed with the use of Eq. (2.10).

The $\omega \rightarrow \eta \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}$ decay is depicted in Fig. 11. The matrix element has the form of Eq. (6.31), its tensor part once the $S U(3)$ symmetry relation $f_{\rho \omega \pi} f_{\rho \gamma \eta}=2 f_{\omega \omega \eta} f_{\omega \gamma \pi}$ is taken into account has the form of Eq. (6.32), and the $\omega$ $\rightarrow \eta \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}$ decay width is given by Eq. (6.33), with the ap-


FIG. 11. $\omega$-meson decays into a virtual photon and $\eta$ and $\pi^{0}$ mesons.


FIG. 12. $\rho^{ \pm}$-meson decays into a virtual photon and $\pi^{ \pm}$and $\pi^{0}$ mesons. The sum of the diagrams (a), (b), and (c) is gauge invariant. The diagram (d) is gauge invariant.
parent substitutions $f_{\rho \gamma \eta} \leftrightarrow f_{\omega \gamma \eta}$ and $m_{\omega} \leftrightarrow m_{\rho}$. The dilepton spectrum can then be constructed, as usual, using Eq. (2.10).

## E. Decay mode $\rho^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$

This decay is depicted in Fig. 12. The first three diagrams describe the dilepton bremsstrahlung. The last diagram corresponds to the two-step mechanism of the dilepton emission. These two sets of diagrams, each of them is the gauge invariant, interfere and we calculate the interference without further approximations.

The photon emitted from the $\rho^{+}$-meson line interacts with the electromagnetic current

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{\mu}\left(p^{\prime}, p\right)= & \frac{1}{2 m_{\rho}} \epsilon_{\sigma}^{*}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\left[-\left(p^{\prime}+p\right)_{\mu} g_{\tau \sigma}\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda\left(q_{\tau} g_{\sigma \mu}-q_{\sigma} g_{\tau \mu}\right)\right] \epsilon_{\tau}(p), \tag{6.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q=p^{\prime}-p, \epsilon_{\tau}(p)$ is the $\rho$-meson polarization vector. The value of $\lambda$ is connected to the $\rho^{+}$magnetic moment. Let us fix first the value of $\lambda$.

The magnetic moment of a particle can be calculated from expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\int d \mathbf{x} \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{j}] . \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wave function of a vector particle in its rest frame where $p=(m, \mathbf{0})$ has the form $\epsilon_{\tau}(p)=(0, \mathbf{e})$. Substituting this expression into Eq. (6.35) and Eq. (6.35) in turn into Eq. (6.36), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{\lambda}{2 m_{\rho}} e_{\gamma}^{*} \mathbf{s} e_{\gamma} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{s}$ is the spin operator acting on the spacelike part of the $\rho$-meson wave functions according to the rule $s_{\alpha} e_{\beta}$ $=-i \epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} e_{\gamma}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma=1,2,3)$. In the nonrelativistic quark model, the $\rho^{+}$magnetic moment is the difference of the magnetic moments of the $u$ and $d$ quarks: $\mu_{\rho^{+}}=\mu_{u}-\mu_{d}$. The proton and neutron magnetic moments are given by the well known expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{p}=\frac{4 \mu_{u}-\mu_{d}}{3},  \tag{6.38}\\
& \mu_{n}=\frac{4 \mu_{d}-\mu_{n}}{3}, \tag{6.39}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda}{2 m_{\rho}}=\mu_{u}-\mu_{d}=\frac{3}{5}\left(\mu_{p}-\mu_{n}\right) . \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

One gets $\lambda=2.3$. The additive quark model predictions are valid with an accuracy of $10-30 \%$. The one-gluon and onepion exchange currents and the anomalous quark moments connected to the violation of the OZI rule, are shown to be important in order to explain the deviations of the naive $S U(3)$ predictions from the experimental data $[66,67]$.

The diagram (a) in Fig. 12 contains the pion form factor $F_{\pi}(t)$. A part of the second diagram (b) connected to the $\rho$-meson convection current contains a $\rho$-meson form factor $F_{1 \rho}(t)$ which decreases as $\sim 1 / t^{2}$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$. The second part of the same diagram connected to the spin current contains a different the $\rho$-meson form factor $F_{2 \rho}(t)$ which decreases also like $\sim 1 / t^{2}$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$ [33]. It is not clear what kind of the propagator should enter into the diagram (c). The gauge invariance requires, within the framework of the considered model, that the two form factors $F_{1 \rho}(t)$ and $F_{\pi}(t)$ should be equal. This is, however, a shortcoming of the model. It can be overcome on the basis of a more complete theory. The second part of the diagram (b) is gauge invariant. The form factor $F_{2 \rho}(t)$ is therefore not subjected to additional constraints. The dilepton invariant masses in the $\rho^{+}$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$decay are not very large, so the distinction between these three form factors does not exceed a $20 \%$ effect. We set the form factor $F_{1 \rho}(t)$ and the form factor entering the diagram (c) both equal to the pion form factor. The sum of the diagrams (a)-(c) is then gauge invariant. The form factor $F_{2 \rho}(t)$ coincides with the transition form factor $F_{\rho \gamma \pi}(t)$ in the framework of the extended VMD model.

The matrix element of the $\rho^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}$ decay is given then by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=i e f_{\rho \pi \pi} F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right) \epsilon_{\tau}(P) \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its tensor part consists of the five pieces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{i} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{1}=\frac{\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)_{\tau}(2 P-k)_{\mu}}{(P-k)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}},  \tag{6.43}\\
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{2}=\lambda \frac{-k \cdot\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) g_{\tau \mu}+k_{\tau}\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)_{\mu}}{(P-k)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}}, \tag{6.44}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{3}=\frac{\left(p_{1}-p_{2}+k\right)_{\tau}\left(2 p_{1}+k\right)_{\mu}}{\left(p_{1}+k\right)^{2}-\mu^{2}},  \tag{6.45}\\
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{4}=-g_{\tau \mu}  \tag{6.46}\\
\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{5}=\gamma \frac{\epsilon_{\tau \sigma \rho \lambda} P_{\sigma}\left(p_{2}+k\right)_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\rho \kappa \mu \nu}\left(p_{2}+k\right)_{\kappa} k_{\nu}}{\left(p_{2}+k\right)^{2}-m_{\omega}^{2}}, \tag{6.47}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{f_{\rho \omega \pi} f_{\omega \gamma \pi}}{f_{\rho \pi \pi}} \frac{F_{\omega \gamma \pi}\left(M^{2}\right)}{F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right)} \approx \frac{f_{\rho \omega \pi}^{2}}{f_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2}} \frac{F_{\omega \gamma \pi}\left(M^{2}\right)}{F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right)} . \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coupling constants $f_{\rho \omega \pi}, f_{\omega \gamma \pi}$, and $f_{\rho \pi \pi}$ are determined earlier (see Table I). We used in Eq. (6.48) the relations $f_{\omega \gamma \pi} \approx f_{\rho \omega \pi} / g_{\rho}$ and $f_{\rho \pi \pi} / g_{\rho} \approx 1$. It is seen that, while signs of the couplings $f_{\rho \pi \pi}$ and $f_{\rho \omega \pi}$ are a matter of convention, the value $\gamma$ is positive and thus the sign of the interference term is fixed.

The first two terms, Eqs. (6.43) and (6.44), originate from diagram (b) of Fig. 12. The third term (6.45) originates from diagram (a), the fourth term comes from diagram (c), and the last term originates from diagram (d). The second and the last terms and the sum of the rest are transverse with respect to the photon momentum: $\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{2} k_{\mu}=0, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{5} k_{\mu}=0$, $\sum_{i=1,3,4} \mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{i} k_{\mu}=0$. The matrix element, $\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}$, is not transverse, however, with respect to the $\rho$-meson momentum, $\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu} P_{\tau} \neq 0$. A complete theory should yield a matrix element which is transverse with respect to both, the photon and the $\rho$-meson momenta. This is not the case in our effective theory. In the following, we work exclusively with the transverse part of the matrix element which is responsible for decays of the physical states, and ignore the longitudinal part responsible for a decay of a spurious spin-zero component of the $\rho$ meson. This is achieved by contraction of the square of the matrix element with the polarization tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\tau \sigma}(P)=-g_{\tau \sigma}+\frac{P_{\tau} P_{\sigma}}{s} \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the massive vector particle, as we did, e.g., in Eq. (6.24). The difference is, however, that the term $P_{\tau} P_{\sigma} / s$ in Eq. (6.24) can be dropped before calculations. In the case considered in this subsection the term $P_{\tau} P_{\sigma} / s$ cannot be dropped.

The square of the matrix element averaged over the initial polarizations of the $\rho$-meson and summed up over the photon polarizations has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv \sum_{f} \overline{|\mathcal{M}|^{2}}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{5} \mathcal{R}_{i j} \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{i j}=\mathcal{M}_{\tau \mu}^{i} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma \nu}^{j *} \frac{1}{3}\left(-g_{\tau \sigma}+\frac{P_{\tau} P_{\sigma}}{s}\right)\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right) \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now consider separately the different interference terms.

We perform an additional averaging of the terms $\mathcal{R}_{i j}$ with $i, j=1-4$ and $i=1-3$ and $j=5$ over directions of the pion momentum $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ in the c.m. frame of two pions. The remaining
terms $\mathcal{R}_{45}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{55}$ are averaged over the directions of the pion momentum $\mathbf{p}_{2}$ in the c.m. frame of the pion $\pi^{0}$ and the photon. The decay rate will finally be given as a sum of the two integrals over the variables $s_{12}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}$ and $s_{13}$ $=\left(p_{2}+k\right)^{2}$. The straightforward calculations give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{R}_{11}=-\frac{4}{9} \frac{2 s_{12}+2 s-M^{2}}{\left(s_{12}-s\right)^{2}} p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)\left(3+\frac{p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right)}{s_{12}}\right), \\
& \mathcal{R}_{22}=\frac{4}{9} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\left(s_{12}-s\right)^{2}} p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)\left[p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right)-3 M^{2}+\frac{1}{4 s_{12}}\left(s-M^{2}-s_{12}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4 s s_{12}}\left(s+M^{2}-s_{12}\right)\left(s+s_{12}-M^{2}\right)\left(s-M^{2}-s_{12}\right)\right], \\
& \mathcal{R}_{33}=-\frac{1}{3 B_{\pi}^{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{s} B_{\pi}^{2}\left(3 s+s_{12}-4 \mu^{2}\right)-\left(s-4 \mu^{2}\right)\left(M^{2}-4 \mu^{2}\right) F(\xi)+2 B_{\pi}\left(s+M^{2}-8 \mu^{2}\right) L(\xi)\right], \\
& \mathcal{R}_{44}=1, \\
& \mathcal{R}_{55}=\frac{4}{9} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\left(s_{23}-m_{\omega}^{2}\right)^{2}} s s_{23} p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{23}}, \mu\right) p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{23}}, \mu, M\right),  \tag{6.52}\\
& \mathcal{R}_{12}+\mathcal{R}_{21}=\frac{8}{9} \frac{\lambda}{\left(s_{12}-s\right)^{2}} \frac{s+s_{12}-M^{2}}{s_{12}} p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right) p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right), \\
& \mathcal{R}_{13}+\mathcal{R}_{31}=-\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\left(s_{12}-s\right) B_{\pi}}\left[\left(-4 \mu^{2}+M^{2}-s\right) B_{\pi}+\frac{1}{3 s} B_{\pi}^{3} \xi^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(s+s_{12}-M^{2}\right)\left(s+s_{12}+M^{2}-8 \mu^{2}\right) L(\xi)\right], \\
& \mathcal{R}_{14}+\mathcal{R}_{41}=0, \\
& \mathcal{R}_{15}+\mathcal{R}_{51}=\frac{4 \gamma}{3} \frac{s p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right) p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right)}{\left(s_{12}-s\right) B_{\omega}} \frac{1+\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right) L(\zeta)}{\zeta^{2}}, \\
& \mathcal{R}_{23}+\mathcal{R}_{32}=-\frac{2}{3} \frac{\lambda}{\left(s_{12}-s\right) B_{\pi}}\left[\frac { B _ { \pi } } { 1 2 s s _ { 1 2 } } \left(\left(4 \mu^{2}-s_{12}\right) M^{4}+\left(-8 \mu^{2} s-10 s s_{12}-4 s_{12}^{2}+16 \mu^{2} s_{12}\right) M^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(4 \mu^{2}-s_{12}\right)\left(s-s_{12}\right)\left(s+5 s_{12}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} M^{2}\left(s_{12}+M^{2}+s-8 \mu^{2}\right) L(\xi)\right], \\
& \mathcal{R}_{24}+\mathcal{R}_{42}=0, \\
& \mathcal{R}_{25}+\mathcal{R}_{52}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\lambda \gamma}{\left(s_{12}-s\right) B_{\omega}}\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{\omega}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{3} \zeta^{2}+1-L(\zeta)\right)+\frac{1}{2} s_{12} B_{\omega}^{2}(-1+L(\zeta))\right. \\
& +B_{\omega}\left(-\frac{1}{2} s p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right)+\left(s+M^{2}-s_{12}\right) p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)\right)(1-L(\zeta)) \\
& \left.+s p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{* 2}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right) \frac{1+\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right) L(\zeta)}{\zeta^{2}}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{R}_{34}+\mathcal{R}_{43}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{B_{\pi}}\left[\left(-4 \mu^{2}+s_{12}\right) L(\xi)+B_{\pi}(-1+L(\xi))\right] \\
\mathcal{R}_{35}+\mathcal{R}_{53}=\frac{8}{3} \frac{\gamma}{B_{\pi} B_{\omega}} \frac{1}{\xi+\zeta}\left[\left(-\frac{1}{4} s_{12}+\mu^{2}\right)\left(s_{12} M^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(s-M^{2}-s_{12}\right)^{2}\right)(\xi L(\xi)+\zeta L(\zeta))\right. \\
\left.-\frac{1}{4} s_{12} \xi B_{\pi}^{2}\left(-1+L(\xi)+\frac{\xi}{\zeta}(-1+L(\zeta))\right)\right] \\
\mathcal{R}_{45}+\mathcal{R}_{54}=0 \tag{6.53}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B_{\omega}=B_{\pi}+\mu^{2}-m_{\omega}^{2}$ and $\zeta=B_{\pi} \xi / B_{\omega}$.
The decay width $\rho^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}$ is given by expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\rho^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}\right)= & \frac{\alpha}{16 \pi^{2} s} f_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2}\left|F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times\left[\int_{4 \mu^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-M)^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=5}^{5}\right) \mathcal{R}_{i j} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)}{\sqrt{s_{12}}} d s_{12}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{(\mu+M)^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-\mu)^{2}} \mathcal{R}_{55} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{23}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{23}}, \mu, M\right)}{\sqrt{s_{23}}} d s_{23}\right] \tag{6.54}
\end{align*}
$$

The dilepton spectrum in the $\rho^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$decay can be calculated from equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Gamma\left(\rho^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}\right)=\Gamma\left(\rho^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0} \gamma^{*}\right) M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decay $\rho^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$is completely equivalent to the $\rho^{+}$-meson decay.

## F. Decay mode $f_{0}(\mathbf{9 8 0}) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$

The dominant decay mode of the $f_{0}(80)$ meson is $f_{0}$ $\rightarrow \pi \pi$. The effective vertex for this decay looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}=g_{f_{0} \pi \pi} f_{0} \pi^{\alpha} \pi^{\alpha} \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $f_{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-}$decay width has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(f_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi s} g_{f_{0} \pi \pi}^{2} p^{*}(\sqrt{s}, \mu, \mu) \tag{6.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\quad \sqrt{s}=m_{f_{0}}$. The experimental width $\Gamma^{\text {tot }}\left(f_{0} \rightarrow \pi \pi\right)$ $=\frac{3}{2} \Gamma\left(f_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=3 \Gamma\left(f_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right)$ lies within the interval $50-100 \mathrm{MeV}$ [39]. The coupling constant can be found to be $g_{f_{0} \pi \pi}=1.6 \mathrm{GeV} \pm 30 \%$.


FIG. 13. $f_{0}(980)$-meson decays into a virtual photon and two charged pions.

The diagrams for the $f_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}$ decay are shown on Fig. 13. The matrix element has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=-i e g_{f \pi \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k), \tag{6.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\mu}=\frac{\left(2 p_{1}+k\right)_{\mu}}{\left(p_{1}+k\right)^{2}-\mu^{2}}-\frac{\left(2 p_{2}+k\right)_{\mu}}{\left(p_{2}+k\right)^{2}-\mu^{2}} \tag{6.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ is transverse with respect to the photon momentum. The square of the matrix element summed up over the photon polarizations and averaged over the directions of the pion momentum in the c.m. frame of two pions has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R} & \equiv \int \frac{d \Omega_{12}}{4 \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{*}\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{B_{\pi}^{2}}\left[\left(M^{2}-4 \mu^{2}\right) F(\xi)+\left(2 s_{12}-4 \mu^{2}-M^{2}\right) L(\xi)\right] \tag{6.60}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{12}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}$. The functions $F(\xi)$ and $L(\xi)$ are defined in Eqs. (6.14) and (6.15) in terms of the parameter $\xi$ which, in turn, is defined in Eq. (6.16). The decay width becomes


FIG. 14. $a_{0}$ (980)-meson decays into a virtual photon and $\eta$ and $\pi$ mesons.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(f_{0}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma^{*}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha}{16 \pi^{2} s} g_{f_{0} \pi \pi}^{2}\left|F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \int_{4 \mu^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-M)^{2}} \mathcal{R} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu\right)}{\sqrt{s_{12}}} d s_{12} . \tag{6.61}
\end{align*}
$$

The dilepton spectrum can be obtained with the use of Eq. (2.10).

## G. Decay mode $a_{0}(\mathbf{9 8 0}) \rightarrow \pi \eta l^{+} l^{-}$

The dominant decay mode of the $a_{0}(980)$ meson is $a_{0}$ $\rightarrow \pi \eta$. The effective vertex for this decay looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}=g_{a_{0} \pi \eta} a_{0}^{\alpha} \pi^{\alpha} \eta \tag{6.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $a_{0} \rightarrow \pi \eta$ decay width has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(a_{0} \rightarrow \pi \eta\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi s} g_{a_{0} \pi \eta}^{2} p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \mu, \mu^{\prime}\right) \tag{6.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are the pion and the $\eta$-meson masses. The experimental width $\Gamma\left(a_{0} \rightarrow \pi \eta\right)$ lies within the interval $50-100 \mathrm{MeV}$. The value of the coupling constant $g_{a_{0} \pi \eta}$ determined from the width is given in Table I.

Since the $\eta$-meson is neutral, photons can only be emitted from the $a_{0}$ and $\pi$ mesons in an isospin $I_{3}= \pm 1$ state. To the lowest order in $\alpha, \Gamma\left(a_{0}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma\right)=0$. Two diagrams for the $a_{0}^{+} \rightarrow \eta \pi^{+} \gamma^{*}$ decays are shown on Fig. 14. The matrix element can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=-i e g_{a \eta \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) \tag{6.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\mu}=\frac{(2 P-k)_{\mu}}{(P-k)^{2}-s}+\frac{\left(2 p_{1}+k\right)_{\mu}}{\left(p_{1}+k\right)^{2}-\mu^{2}} \tag{6.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}$ is the pion momentum, $\sqrt{s}=m_{a_{0}}$ is the $a_{0}$-meson mass, and $P^{2}=s$. The tensor part of the matrix element, $\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$, is transverse with respect to the photon momentum. The square of the matrix element summed up over photon polarizations and averaged over the directions of the pion momentum in the c.m. frame of the $\pi$ and $\eta$ mesons has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv & \int \frac{d \Omega_{12}}{4 \pi} \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{*}\left(-g_{\mu \nu}\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{\left(s_{12}-s\right)^{2}}\left[2 s+2 s_{12}-M^{2}\right]-\frac{1}{B_{\pi}^{\prime 2}} \\
& \times\left[\left(4 \mu^{2}-M^{2}\right) F\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)+2 B_{\pi}^{\prime} L\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right]-\frac{2}{\left(s_{12}-s\right) B_{\pi}^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left[\left(s+s_{12}-M^{2}+2\left(\mu^{2}-\mu^{\prime 2}\right)\right) L\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)+B_{\pi}^{\prime}\right] \tag{6.66}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{12}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, p_{2}$ is the $\eta$ momentum, $p_{2}^{2}=\mu^{\prime 2}$, and $F\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ and $L\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ are functions of the parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\prime}=\frac{2}{B_{\pi}^{\prime}} \sqrt{\frac{s}{s_{12}}} p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu^{\prime}\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) \tag{6.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value $B_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\pi}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s+M^{2}-s_{12}\right)+\frac{1}{2 s_{12}}\left(\mu^{2}-\mu^{\prime 2}\right)\left(s-M^{2}-s_{12}\right) \tag{6.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $a_{0}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \eta \gamma^{*}$ decay width becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(a_{0}^{+}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \pi^{+} \eta \gamma^{*}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha}{16 \pi^{2} s} g_{a_{0} \pi \eta}^{2}\left|F_{\pi}\left(M^{2}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \int_{\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right)^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-M)^{2}} \mathcal{R} \frac{p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{s_{12}}, M\right) p^{*}\left(\sqrt{s_{12}}, \mu, \mu^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{s_{12}}} d s_{12} . \tag{6.69}
\end{align*}
$$

The dilepton spectrum can be calculated using Eq. (2.10):

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \Gamma\left(a_{0}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \eta e^{+} e^{-}\right) \\
& \quad=\Gamma\left(a_{0}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \eta \gamma^{*}\right) M \Gamma\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right) \frac{d M^{2}}{\pi M^{4}} \tag{6.70}
\end{align*}
$$

The possible values of $M$ lie in the interval $2 m \leqslant M \leqslant \sqrt{s}$ $-\mu-\mu^{\prime}$.

## VII. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The dilepton meson decays have essentially the same physical origin as the radiative meson decays. Equation (2.3) allows a direct calculation of the radiative widths within the framework used for the calculation of the dilepton widths. Thus, the radiative decays provide a useful test for the present model.

TABLE II. Branching ratios of radiative meson decays. For channels with the photon bremsstrahlung, the branching ratios are calculated for photon energies above 50 MeV . The experimental branching of the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ decay is taken from Ref. [52]; other data are from Ref. [35].

| Decay mode | $B^{\text {theor }}$ | $B^{\text {expt }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |
| $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $(0.99 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |
| $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma$ | $3.8 \times 10^{-10}$ |  |
| $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $<3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $(7.2 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $6.9 \times 10^{-2}$ | $(4.78 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-1}$ | $(2.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $f_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |
| $a_{0}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \eta \gamma$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |

## A. Radiative meson decays

The results for the radiative decays with two mesons in the final states are shown in Table II. The $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma, \omega$ $\rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma$, and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ branching ratios are in the reasonable agreement with experiment. The branching of the $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ decay is higher than the experimental value. The $\eta$-meson width is known with an accuracy of $10 \%$. This uncertainty is also present in the calculated branching. The coupling constant $f_{\rho \gamma \eta}^{2}$ to which this branching is proportional is known with an accuracy of $20 \%$. It is, however, not possible to use these uncertainties in order to decrease the predicted branching, since the branching for the $\eta$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$decay is lower than the experimental value (see Table III). The measurement of the $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$ reaction has been done quite a long time ago and with rather pure statistics (see Ref. [54]). It would be desirable to remeasure this process. The experimental branching for the $\eta^{\prime}$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ decay is taken from Ref. [59], others are from [39]. The results for the $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma, \rho \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma, \omega$ $\rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma$, and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma$ decays are in agreement with the calculations by Bramon, Grau, and Pancheri [64] where the

TABLE III. The integral branchings ratios of the unflavored meson decays to electron-positron and muon-antimuon pairs. The experimental data are from Ref. [35].

| Decay mode | $B_{e^{+} e^{-}}^{\text {theor }}$ | $B_{e^{+} e^{-}}^{\text {expt }}$ | $B_{\mu^{+} \mu^{-}}^{\text {theor }}$ | $B_{\mu^{+} \mu^{-}}^{\text {expt }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho^{0} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$ | input | $(4.48 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $(4.60 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\rho \rightarrow \pi l^{+} l^{-}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-6}$ |  | $4.6 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |
| $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \eta l^{+} l^{-}$ | $2.7 \times 10^{-6}$ |  | $7.0 \times 10^{-11}$ |  |
| $\rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $5.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |  | $1.8 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |
| $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ |  | $2.7 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |
| $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $7.5 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |  |
| $\rho \rightarrow \pi \eta l^{+} l^{-}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-12}$ |  |  |  |
| $\omega \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$ | input | $(7.15 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $<1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $7.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $(5.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.2 \times 10^{-5}$ | $(9.6 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\omega \rightarrow \eta l^{+} l^{-}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-6}$ |  | $1.8 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |
| $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |  |  |
| $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |  |  |
| $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta l^{+} l^{-}$ | $8.7 \times 10^{-10}$ |  |  |  |
| $\phi \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$ | input | $(3.00 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $(2.48 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ | $<1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.8 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |
| $\phi \rightarrow \eta l^{+} l^{-}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\left(1.3_{-0.6}^{+0.8}\right) \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |
| $\eta \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $(4.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $(3.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\left(1.3_{-0.8}^{+1.2}\right) \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-4}$ |  | $8.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $(1.04 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \omega l^{+} l^{-}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ |  | $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |
| $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ |  | $2.8 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |
| $f_{0} \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |  | $7.1 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $f_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ |  |  | $1.4 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| $a_{0}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |  |
| $a_{0} \rightarrow \pi \eta l^{+} l^{-}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |  |  |
| $\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$ | $1.18 \times 10^{-2}$ | $(1.198 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |

same model for these decays is used. The branching ratios for decays including photon bremsstrahlung are calculated for photon energies above 50 MeV . The data on the radiative decays with one meson in the final state were used as input to fix the $V \gamma P$ couplings. These decays are not listed in Table II.

The results for the dilepton branching ratios are summarized in Table III.

## B. $\boldsymbol{\rho}$-meson decays

The branching ratio $B\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma\right)=(9.9 \pm 1.6)$ $\times 10^{-3}$ is almost one order of magnitude greater than the branching ratio $B\left(\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \gamma\right)=(7.9 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-4}$. Despite the fact that the first one depends on the experimental cut in the photon energy, one can expect that the decay mode $\rho^{0}$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$is important. Indeed, it is seen from Table III that the $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$branching ratio is more than one order of magnitude greater than the $\rho \rightarrow \pi e^{+} e^{-}$branching ratio and 4 times greater than branching ratio of the direct $\rho^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$decay. The dominant contribution comes, however, from the region of small invariant masses of the $e^{+} e^{-}$ pair, which is not seen experimentally. The same is true for the $\rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$decays. For invariant masses $M$ $>100 \mathrm{MeV}$, the number of the $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs due to the $\rho^{0}$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$and $\rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$decays increases by $30 \%$ as compared to the direct mode, if one assumes that the $\rho^{0}$ and $\rho^{ \pm}$mesons are produced with equal probabilities. The differential branching ratios for the $\rho$-meson decays are shown for the $e^{+} e^{-}$channels in Fig. 15(a) and for the $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$channels in Fig. 15(b). At small invariant masses such that $2 m_{e} \leq M$, the slope equals $d \log B^{\prime} / d \log M \approx-1$ where $B^{\prime}=d B / d M$, when there is no bremsstrahlung. Such a behavior appears because of the $d M / M$ dependence of the differential branching ratio according to Eq. (2.10). The bremsstrahlung makes the $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \gamma^{*}$ widths more singular $\left[\sim \log ^{3}(1 / M)\right]$ and thus the slope of the other curves is larger.

In order to get a comparison with the direct channel, we plotted also a weighted $\rho^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$distribution according to equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d B}{d M}=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{2 M m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}(M)}{\left(M^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2}+m_{\rho}^{2} \Gamma_{\rho}(M)^{2}} B\left(\rho \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\rho$-meson width as a function of the value $M$ is assumed to have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\rho}(M)= & \Gamma_{\rho} \frac{p^{* 3}\left(M, \sqrt{s_{0}} / 2, \sqrt{s_{0}} / 2\right)}{p^{* 3}\left(m_{\rho}, \sqrt{s_{0}} / 2, \sqrt{s_{0}} / 2\right)} \\
& \times \frac{m_{\rho}^{2}+p^{* 2}\left(m_{\rho}, \sqrt{s_{0}} / 2, \sqrt{s_{0}} / 2\right)}{m_{\rho}^{2}+p^{* 2}\left(M, \sqrt{s_{0}} / 2, \sqrt{s_{0}} / 2\right)}, \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\rho}$ is the total experimental width and $\sqrt{s_{0}}=2 \mu$ is the two-pion threshold energy. In the narrow-width limit, the integral from the left side of Eq. (7.1) coincides with $B$ ( $\rho$ $\rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$).


FIG. 15. The differential branching ratios for the $\rho$-meson decays into $e^{+} e^{-}$(a) and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$(b) channels as functions of the invariant mass, $M$, of the dilepton pairs. The solid curves (8 and 9) are the total ratios for the $\rho^{0}$ and $\rho^{ \pm}$mesons.

## C. $\omega$-meson decays

The differential branching for the $\omega$-meson decays are shown in Figs. 16(a) and 16(b). The $\omega$ decays are dominated through the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$decay mode. The other modes give only a small correction to the background. It is interesting that strength of the channel $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$is one order of magnitude greater than strength of the direct channel $\omega$ $\rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$. The $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs from these two decays have quite different invariant masses. The background appears below 500 MeV . Like in the case of the $\rho$-meson, we plot also the weighted $\omega \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$distributions according to Eq. (7.1) with substitutions $m_{\rho} \leftrightarrow m_{\omega}$ and $\Gamma_{\rho} \leftrightarrow \Gamma_{\omega}$ for the threshold energy $\sqrt{s_{0}}=3 \mu$.

## D. $\phi$-meson decays

The differential branching ratios for the $\phi$-meson decays are shown in Figs. 17(a) and 17(b). We do not consider two-


FIG. 16. The differential branching ratios for the $\omega$-meson decays into $e^{+} e^{-}$(a) and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$(b) channels as functions of the invariant mass, $M$, of the dilepton pairs. The solid curve is the total ratio. The background is dominated through the $\pi e^{+} e^{-}$and $\pi \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$Dalitz decays.
meson finals states. The dominant ones could be $\phi$ $\rightarrow \pi \pi \gamma^{*}$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma^{*}$. The first decay with a real photon in the $\pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ mode was observed experimentally $[34,35]$. It has branching $B^{\operatorname{expt}}\left(\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \gamma\right)=(1.14 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$. This decay dominates through the $f_{0} \gamma$ mechanism. One can expect that branching $B\left(\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}\right)$is two orders of magnitude smaller than branching of the radiative decay, and so smaller than all $\phi$-meson branching ratios listed in Table III. The $\phi \rightarrow \pi \eta \gamma$ decay was also measured in the SND experiment $[34,35]$ to give $B^{\text {expt }}\left(\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma\right)=(1.3 \pm 0.5)$ $\times 10^{-4}$. The branching $B\left(\phi \rightarrow \pi \eta e^{+} e^{-}\right)$is also expected to be small. The measured $\phi \rightarrow \pi \pi \gamma$ and $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma$ widths are significantly greater than predictions of Ref. [64] where formation of the intermediate $f_{0} \gamma$ and $a_{0} \gamma$ states is not considered. At present there is, however, yet no experimental evidence for an $a_{0}$-meson structure in the $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma$ decay, and thus it is not clear how to interpret the excess in the $\phi$


FIG. 17. The differential branching ratios for the $\phi$-meson decays into $e^{+} e^{-}$(a) and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$(b) channels versus the invariant mass, $M$, of the dilepton pairs.
$\rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta \gamma$ mode. Consequently, the calculation of the branching ratios for the dilepton $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta l^{+} l^{-}$modes is still unclear.

We do not consider decay modes with kaons in the final states. The invariant mass of the $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs in such decays does not exceed 50 MeV , so they occur in the region excluded by the experimental cuts $M>50 \mathrm{MeV}$. In Figs. 17(a) and 17(b), the $\phi \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$distributions are plotted according to Eq. (7.1) modified for the $\phi$ meson. The dominant twokaon decay channel with $\sqrt{s_{0}}=2 \mu_{K}$ where $\mu_{K}$ is the kaon mass and also the three-pion decay channel with $\sqrt{s_{0}}=3 \mu$ are taken into account in the $M$-dependent width $\Gamma_{\phi}(M)$.

## E. $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ - and $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}$-mesons decays

The differential branching ratios for the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons are shown in Figs. 18(a) and 18(b). The $\eta$ meson dominates through the $\eta \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$modes. The dominant $e^{+} e^{-}$modes for the $\eta^{\prime}$ meson are $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}$at $M>250 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$


FIG. 18. The differential branching ratios for the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons decaying into $e^{+} e^{-}$(a) and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$(b) channels versus the invariant mass, $M$, of the dilepton pairs. The solid curves give the total ratios. The narrow structure in the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}$decay is connected to the $\omega$-meson contribution to the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma^{*}$ transition form factor [see Eq. (4.5)]. The same holds for the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decay.
$\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$at $M \leqq 250 \mathrm{MeV}$. The $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$modes display clear structures connected to the $\omega$ - and $\rho$-meson contributions to the $\eta^{\prime} \gamma \gamma$ transition form factors. The $\eta$ $\rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$decay is forbidden by $C$-parity conservation, similarly for the $\eta^{\prime}$ meson.

## F. $\pi^{0}-, f_{0^{-}}$, and $a_{0}$-mesons decays

The differential branching ratios for the $\pi^{0}, f_{0}$, and $a_{0}$ mesons are shown in Figs. 19(a) and 19(b). At $M$ $\leq 500 \mathrm{MeV}$, the $f_{0}$-meson decays dominate through the $f_{0}$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$mode. The four-body $a_{0}$-meson decay also becomes dominant with decreasing the values of $M$. The dilepton spectra of the $f_{0}$ and $a_{0}$ mesons display clear structures connected to the $\omega$ - and $\rho$-meson contributions to the transition form factors.


FIG. 19. The differential branching ratios for the $\pi^{0}, f_{0}(980)$, and $a_{0}(980)$ mesons into $e^{+} e^{-}$(a) and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$(b) channels versus the invariant mass, $M$, of the dilepton pairs. The solid curves no. 6 (a) and no. 5 (b) give the total branching ratios, respectively, of the $e^{+} e^{-}$and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$modes of the $f_{0}$ meson. The structures in the $f_{0^{-}}$ and $a_{0}$-mesons Dalitz decays $\gamma l^{+} l^{-}$are connected to the $\omega$ - and $\rho$-mesons contributions to the $f_{0}$ and $a_{0}$ transition form factors.

## VIII. CONCLUSION

The present work is an attempt to approach the DLS puzzle through including new meson decay channels. We studied decay modes of the light mesons below the $\phi(1020)$ meson into $e^{+} e^{-}$and $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$pairs. Besides the direct decays and some Dalitz decays, which are well known in the literature, we presented a systematic investigation of the decay modes which contribute to the dilepton background and have not yet been taken into account in previous studies. These are special Dalitz decays as, e.g., $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}, f_{0} \rightarrow \gamma l^{+} l^{-}$and most of the decays to four-body final states. Although many of these processes are found to give small contributions to the total dilepton branching ratios, this is not the case for all of them.

We found that in the $\rho^{0}$-meson decays, the dominant con-
tribution to the background below 350 MeV comes from the $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-} \quad$ decay. The decay modes $\rho^{0}$ $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$and $\rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$increase the number of the $e^{+} e^{-}$yield with invariant mass $M>100 \mathrm{MeV}$ by about $30 \%$ compared to the direct mode $\rho^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$at $M$ $<1 \mathrm{GeV}$, if one assumes that production cross sections for the $\rho^{ \pm}$and $\rho^{0}$ mesons are equal. In case of the $f_{0}$ meson, the $f_{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$decay is in the interval $100-400 \mathrm{MeV}$ one to three orders of magnitude more important than the next to the dominant $f_{0} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}$mode. In most other cases, the four-body decays give only small contributions and thus there neglection appears to be justified.

The calculated branching ratios can further be used for experimental searches for the dilepton meson decays.

The production rates of mesons in $p+p, p+A$, and in particular in heavy-ion $(A+A)$ collisions differ by orders of magnitude and depend sensitively on the available energy of the system. The relative strength of specific channels for different mesons can hardly be estimated without complete transport calculations. However, for every meson we can decide which channels are more important. The relative strength of, e.g., $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} l^{+} l^{-}$and $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$decay modes can be found without knowing the cross section for the $\rho^{0}$-meson production.

Since theoretical models for the dilepton production have to be adjusted to $p+p$ and possibly $\pi+p$ reactions before applied to $A+A$ reactions, a detailed knowledge of all relevant decay channels and background processes is indispensable. In particular, the lack in the understanding of the excess of dilepton pairs below the $\rho$-meson peak in the BEVALAC
as well as in the CERN data shows that scenarios with a reduced $\rho$-meson mass can be treated at present as a plausible hypothesis only. To draw definite physical conclusions, one needs to eliminate possible trivial explanations like those connected to existence of the nondirect dilepton decays of light unflavored mesons.

After submitting this paper, we became aware of papers by Koch [68] and Lichard [69] where a quite complete set of the Dalitz decays (without scalar mesons) and also a few four-body channels were investigated. In Ref. [68], fourbody channels are discussed within an approximation suggested by Jarlskog and Pilkuhn [70], which consists in neglecting the $M$ dependence of the matrix elements for emission of the virtual photons with mass $M$. We performed straightforward calculations without approximations and included additional Dalitz and four-body channels. In Ref. [69], the decay mode $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$is analyzed in details. Our expressions (6.13) and (6.18) coincide with the corresponding formulas from the Lichard paper where, however, the pion form factor was neglected. The pion form factor has a small impact on the total $e^{+} e^{-}$decay rate. The dimuon mode, where higher invariant masses are involved, is enhanced by about $40 \%$.
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