# Tests of Carleton and MPI TPC's with a resistive foil and a Micromegas readout at the KEK PS beam

Vincent Lepeltier, LAL, Orsay, France on behalf of the Canada, France, Germany, Japan, Philippines collaboration

 KEK, TUAT Tokyo Univ., Hiroshima Univ., Kogakuin Univ., Kinki Univ., Saga Univ., Tsukuba Univ., Japan
 MSU, Philippines, Carleton Univ.of Ottawa, Univ. de Montréal, Canada,
 MPI, Germany, DAPNIA-CEA, Saclay, IN2P3-LAL and IPN, Orsay, France

T. Araki, D. C. Arogancia, A.M. Bacala, A. Bellerive, K. Boudjemline, D. Burke, P. Colas, M. Dixit, H. Fujishima, K. Fujii, A. Giganon, I.Giomataris, H. C. Gooc, M. Habu, T. Higashi, Y. Kato, M. Kobayashi, K. Kodomatsu, H. Kuroiwa, V. Lepeltier, J.Miyamato, J.-P. Martin, T. Matsuda, S. Matsushita, K. Nakamura, E. Neuheimer, O. Nitoh, J. Pouthas, R. L. Reserva, E. Rollin, Ph. Rosier, K. Sachs, R. Settles, Y. Shin, A. Sugiyama, T. Takahashi, Y. Tanaka, T. Watanabe, A. Yamaguchi, T. Yamamoto, H. Yamaoka, Th. Zerguerras

why this collaboration?

- 1T-magnet and 4 GeV/c hadron beam available at KEK
- 2 TPCs existing at Carleton (Ottawa) and MPI (Munich)
- read-out technologies developped previously at Saclay (Micromegas) and Carleton (resistive layer)
  - + many people enthusiast to cooperate !
- $\rightarrow$  3 weeks of beam data taking in 2005 (June and October)

## outline

- why a resistive layer?
- how does it work ?
- experimental set-up (TPCs and beam)
- PRF and spatial resolution results
- future and conclusion

#### why a resistive layer?

transverse resolution from the **full** simulation of the of the MPI Micromegas TPC (by Khalil Boudjemline, Carleton, Ottawa), with these characteristics: 2.3 x 6.3 mm<sup>2</sup> pads,  $Ar-iC_4H_{10}$ : 95-5%, B = 1T, E=200V/cm, mean gain= 10000

the spatial transverse resolution results from three contributions:

- a constant term  $\sigma_0$  mainly due to electronics, noise, ...
- a term coming from the finite pad width s (s/J12 $\approx$ 670µm for  $\Phi$  $\approx$ 0, Z=0)
- a diffusion term  $\propto$  JZ (and  $\propto$  1/JN $_{eff}$  )



how to extrapolate the transverse resolution to: a 250cm long TPC with a low diffusion gas mixture for example  $Ar-CF_4$  at 4T,  $C_d=25 \mu m/Jcm$  (200) with  $\omega \tau \approx 20$  (2.5)



## how to decrease (suppress) the pad width contribution?

1. decrease by a factor (>5?) the pad width  $\Rightarrow$  10<sup>7</sup> channels irrealistic (loss of resolution with  $\Phi$  angle)

but leads to a **new promising concept of digital TPC**: **minipads** (<<1mm<sup>2</sup>), with **single electron** detection (see presentations by Jan Timmermans , Klaus Desch & Michael Hauschild)

#### 2. diffuse electrons AFTER multiplication (proposed by VL)

«impossible» with Micromegas difficult with GEM: needs for σ<sub>diff</sub>≈ 700-800µm (for 2mm pad width), i.e. typically ~3cm defocusing at 2-3 kV/cm

3. set a resistive foil on the pad plane

proposed by Madhu Dixit (Carleton, Ottawa) ~4 years ago

# idea: a uniform high resistivity 1 MQ/ $\square$ Al-Si Cermet is glued on the pad plane



for more info: see presentation by Madhu Dixit at the ILC 2005 Snowmass workshop

#### charge dispersion with a resistive anode

- Micromegas anode with a highly 50µm resistive film bonded to a readout plane with an insulating spacer.
- 2-dimensional continuous RC network defined by material properties(R) & geometry (C).
  point charge at r = 0 & t = 0 disperses with time.
  time dependent anode charge density sampled by readout pads.

the charge evolution in r and t is the "telegraph" equation, governed by the RC time constant parameter:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{RC} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \right]$$
$$\Rightarrow \rho(r,t) = \frac{RC}{2t} e^{\frac{-r^2 RC}{4t}}$$



#### **TPC track PRFs with Micromegas**

- the PRF (Pad Response Function) is not Gaussian
- the PRF depends on track position relative to the pad PRF = PRF(x,z)
- PRF can be characterized by its FWHM  $\Gamma(z)$  & base width  $\Delta(z)$ .
- PRFs determined from the data have been fitted to a functional form consisting of a ratio of two symmetric 4th order polynomials.



#### experimental set-up at KEK: the beam line



#### experimental set-up at KEK: the two TPC's



- Micromegas mesh 10×10 cm2
- drift distance: 16 cm
- 126 2.3  $\times$  6.3 mm² pads in 7 rows
- ALEPH preamps + 25MHz Montréal FADC
- gas mixtures: Ar-5%isoC<sub>4</sub> H<sub>10</sub> B=1T,80kevts@220&80V/cm Ar-10%CO<sub>2</sub> B =0T,80kevts
- evt rate ~3Hz (2.5 Gb/hr).
- beam  $\pi^+/\pi^-/e$



- Micromegas mesh 10x10 cm<sup>2</sup>
- drift distance 26cm
- 512 2×6.3 mm2 pads in 16 rows
- ALEPH preamps + 11MHz FADC
- gas mixtures:

Ar-5%isoC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> B=1T, 19kevts@220V/cm

- B=1T,20kevts@80V/cm
- B=1T,11kevts@**4**=10°
- Ar-10%CO<sub>2</sub> B=0T,14 kevts
- evt rate ~0.3Hz
- beam π<sup>+</sup>/π<sup>-</sup>/e

#### detector quality control plots

energy resolution  $\sigma$ = 8% for Ar-5% isobutane



#### **MPI TPC** micromesh signal (10×10cm<sup>2</sup>)

detector quality control plots

#### <sup>55</sup>Fe source Ar+5%iso-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> MPI TPC



energy resolution ( $\sigma$ ) vs mesh HV

gain vs mesh HV

#### data quality control: event display



#### data quality control: event display



low momentum particle (r=3.5cm at 1T)

#### results: PRF vs Z



#### results: resolution vs Z

#### Ar/iC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>:95/05@1T





### conclusion and future

- it has been proven that a transverse resolution of ~50µm has been achieved.
- a resistive foil allows to suppress the limitation on the resolution due to the pad width
- with a resistive foil, and a low diffusion gas (C<sub>d</sub>~25µm/Jcm@4T), the PRF will be ~ independant of the drift distance
   (for example, for the choice made for this experiment, the PRF shoud increase from 2mm at Z=0 to 2.2mm at Z=2.5m)

the compromise should be (easily) done between the gas mixture, the pad width and the foil resistivity -> more flexibility for the construction

- more work to be done on the data analysis and also many data to be analysed.
- new tests in the future?
  - no more beam at KEK

- DESY or CERN? with JACEE?

- new cosmic data?

with te Saclay-Orsay-Berkeley TPC?

many thanks to the KEK support (management and technical teams)